William Paterson University - FACULTY SENATE MINUTES - September 13, 2022 FACULTY SENATE WEB PAGE http://www.wpunj.edu/senate

PRESENT: Alford, Bliss, Christensen, Crick, Duffy, Elleithy, Gazzillo-Diaz (for Tardi), Gill, Gladfelter, Gerst, Griffiths, Hack, Helldobler, D. Hill, Hong, Kaur, Kernan, Kollia, Marshall, Morrisette (for Gazzillo-Diaz), Nassiripour, Natrajan, Nemeth, Newman, Onaivi, Orr, Panayidis (for Andreopoulos), Powers, Rosar, Simon, Snyder, VanderGast, Vega, Verdicchio, Wallace, Watad, Williams, Wright, Yoo

ABSENT: Diamond, Steinhart<br>GUESTS: Abouk, Andrew, Astarita, Bartle, Berg, Birge, Brenensen, Broome, G. Brown, L. Brown, Cammarata, Cannon, Chauhan, Ciccomascolo, Corso, Davis, Decker, Diaz, Ferguson, Fuentes, Gerber, Ginsberg, Goldstein, Gramiccioni, Griffin, Griswold, Gritsch, Guzman, S. Hill, Jones, Kalaramadam, Kashyap, Lincoln, MacDonald, Makarec, McLaughlin-Vignier, McMahon, Owusu-Ansah, Rabbitt, Reardon-Henry, Refsland, Ricupero, Ross, Ryblewski, Saleem, Seuss, Sharma, Spero, Tiernan, Torres, Tsiamtsiouris, Vasquez, Verzani, Von Dohlen, Weiland, Weiner, Zeleke, Zeman<br>N.B. If you were in attendance and your name does not appear above, please e-mail the Secretary directly (duffyb@wpunj.edu)

PROCEDURAL NOTES: The meeting was held, live, in the Ballroom. Everyone else could join via Teams. When someone viewing remotely wishes to speak s/he should type SPEAK in the Chat box. Duffy will keep track of those desiring to speak and will recognize each in order. When recognized, the speaker will then unmute the microphone. Only the Chair's screen will be visible. The session will be recorded, but only the Secretary will have access to the recording. In order to avoid feedback, crosstalk and distortion, all virtual attendees must keep their microphones muted until they are recognized by the Chair and have the floor.

PRELIMINARIES: Chairperson Christensen called the meeting to order at $12: 38 \mathrm{pm}$ and presented the Land Acknowledgment. Wallace and Verdicchio moved acceptance of the Agenda, which was adopted by voice vote. Orr and Crick moved acceptance of the Minutes of the May 5th meeting, which were also approved unanimously.

VICE-CHAIR'S REPORT: Hong presented (Wallace seconded) the rosters for the Senate Councils and the UCC Panels. They were approved unanimously. Hong and Kaur moved acceptance of the charges for the councils, which were also approved unanimously.

Communication Disorders needs an external member for its RTP Committee. Hong and Yoo nominated Basch (Public Health). She was approved unanimously.

## CHAIR'S REPORT:

[The PowerPoint slides for the Chair's Report are archived in the Packet of this meeting.]
A warm welcome to our Senators and guests.
I started at WP 10 years ago. I was immediately excited to work with new colleagues and impressed with the students in my classes. I honestly felt that I had won a lottery, and indeed to land any tenure track job these days is like winning a lottery. I've spent much of the last 10 years bragging to my graduate school colleagues and mentors about WP, particularly about how tirelessly our faculty and staff work to develop innovative ways to help ensure our curriculum reflects our students' lives and encourages their success. Of course, that was not easy work and there was and is plenty of it to do. But with the idea we were building lifetime careers here, we dug in to build the future we wanted to see for William Paterson.

Now that seems like it was ages ago. In the past few years, we have seen enrollments plummet and our workloads increase as much as $50 \%$. We are still committed to the work, but with ongoing layoffs, the work has become more difficult. Ideally, the protections of tenure allow faculty to push the envelope - to make bold moves within university governance and in the classroom. But these protections are gone. And we've seen countless colleagues leave, whether they want to or not.

This quote might sound a bit familiar--
> "The other outcome "is a lack of trust and feeling demoralized and burnt out and just having that overarching feeling of dread," Bisconti said. "People were miserable. The culture had become super-negative. I think we felt just completely taken advantage of. I think we were demoralized. I think we all felt that we could have been on that list." (From a Chronicle of Higher Ed article on 1 year of layoffs at Akron UniversityBisconti is the union president)

When we're talking about student retention, we cannot ignore faculty morale. Research shows that transformative classroom experiences, as well as building relationships with faculty, help students stay in college.

Recent research also shows a positive correlation between faculty morale and student learning outcome achievement. When faculty morale is high, students are more likely to feel positive about the university. When faculty are positively engaged in the university, students are also more likely to be positively engaged and to finish their degrees. And not surprisingly, when faculty and academic staff "perceive a sense of achievement and success in their work," they are more likely to "extend themselves to meet the needs of the organization's needs, take initiative... believing they can make a difference." Imagine what we can accomplish for our students with the needed security and support.

So how do we restore the faculty and staff morale that is critical for our student's success?

First, as a Senate we must work with the AFT to oppose further layoffs. I have reached out to the AFT executive board to invite them to a meeting with the Senate Executive where we will discuss how to ensure the BOT knows that further layoffs will threaten the education our students deserve.

Second, the Senate must be a space where faculty and staff are heard and our knowledge and ideas are utilized. All the members of the Senate Exec are always available for individual conversations or to share ideas. Our next Senate meeting will be a closed meeting to give us the chance to plan how to do this collectively.

Third, we will spend the next year focused on what we can do to stabilize the university. I want our senate to be proactive, not reactive. We cannot be left out of critical plans. For example, I expressed to President Helldobler this summer that it was incomprehensible that the senate was not involved in the development of the Stabilization plan-- retention is within our expertise. Not only were we not a part of shaping it, but we have also been told we cannot see it until it is reviewed by the State. This was a missed opportunity to work together.

We are often reminded that as a senate we do not have the power to create policy-but we do have the power and knowledge to create workable plans for the school's success. We must think boldly about how to solve the problems we're facing while supporting our educational mission. Our work is urgent and critical and I invite you to work with me this semester.

Christensen then presented the Senate priorities as gathered from input sent to the Senate officers. She urged all senators to speak frequently with their constituencies about Senate business and to bring feedback to the Senate.

Christensen and Duffy's nomination of Simon to continue as Parliamentarian was approved unanimously.

She then nominated (Duffy seconded) John Malindretos to continue to serve at the Senate's liaison to the Board of Trustees Finance, Audit and Institutional Development Committee, and Danielle Wallace to the Board's Educational Policy and Student Development Committee. The nominations were approved unanimously.

ENROLLMENT UPDATE: VP Ross presented PowerPoint slides [archived in the Packet of this meeting] and fielded questions. He related all his statistics to the appropriate University KPIs.

Marshall asked what percentage of WP Online classes are taught by adjuncts? Ross didn't have that number, but said that he or the Provost would get it.

Jones asked if we know the gender breakdown for retention among Black students? Ross said he's awaiting receiving those achievement gap data from Institutional Effectiveness.

Marshall asked how many fewer classes are being offered on campus since 2019? Ross deferred to Lincoln or Powers, neither of whom picked it up.

A senator suggested that these unanswered questions be sent to the administrators. Christensen agreed and asked that anyone with related questions that require information derived from data or reports to send their questions to her and she will forward them to Ross, Powers, Lincoln, etc., as appropriate for responses at the next open Senate meeting.

## ADVISEMENT AND REGISTRATION COUNCIL: FACULTY AS MENTORS:

Christensen noted two items in the Packet of today's meeting: One is the administration's white paper describing its plan to shift from faculty as advisors to faculty as mentors, and the second is the Advisement Council's questions regarding the faculty as mentors model. She presented the Council's questions on her screen.

Powers thanked the Council for its work and warned that there may not be exact to all these types of questions. We need to find what makes the most sense in different circumstances. He sees the professional advisor as having an instrumental relationship with the student (e.g., registration, financial aid issues, etc.). Mentoring has advisor-like elements, but is more concerned with guiding the student regarding careers, internships, graduate school opportunities, etc. The professional advisor would be part of each student's success team.

Helldobler added that the professional advisor would administratively support the student throughout the academic year, something that students have asked for. The professional advisor cannot replace the disciplinary expertise of faculty.
L. Brown asked what training and support will faculty mentors receive and how will they relate to the professional advisors?

Helldobler said that professional advisors would be organized around career communities, so faculty will know which professional advisors will be working with students in that college and majors, and will be working with the mentors designated by the departments. The professional advisors will intimately know the degree programs they'll be working with.

Crick noted that faculty have different ideas what a mentor is, so we need to know the administration expects a mentor to do. Powers thought that a position description should be formulated, perhaps in consultation with a Senate council.

Snyder noted that faculty often hear from students that they are not getting the correct advice from advisors. How can faculty assure that advisors give the discipline-specific advice about what courses to register for? Powers said that Ortiz has a mechanism by which advisors have a liaison with each department to assure that the professional advisors are kept up to date and, if mistakes have been made, to find ways to rectify them. He also reiterated that what's in Degree Works and the pathway plans for each program are, in fact, accurate. Currently this is uneven across the institution (e.g., what substitutions are allowed). Helldobler added that course substitutions should rest with the faculty and the department chair.

Wright noted that some of the things that come up within a student-faculty relationship are very heavy (e.g., sexual assault) especially with women and women of color. This model must take these things into account. Helldobler said the success teams will help do that and refer students in such unfortunate situations to the right resources that are available. Faculty do not have to deal with such matters alone. The success teams will help share resources and support systems that will get students the help they need Women, women of color and LGBTQIA+ individuals shoulder a larger burden in many of those ways.

Gazzillo-Diaz (for Tardi) reminded the administration that there is a faculty advisement agreement, so it will need to deal with the Union and not just the Senate. Helldobler noted that. She asked if all programs going this way are will some be excluded and continue to have their own faculty advisors? She thinks this should be an all or none situation. Helldobler said it hasn't been sorted out yet, but he doesn't think it must be an all or nothing proposition.

Gazzillo-Diaz (speaking for herself) said that every program has nuances and many students have had mess ups in advisement that have resulted in their having to extend their time here due to misadvisement. Powers said that this is a journey not an end point and we need to address those issues as we go along. Some programs will require a nuanced model. Helldobler said that we are all human and mess ups happen across the board, not only by professional advisors but also by faculty. We get it right most of the time. As more nuanced relationships develop between the professional advisors who are housed in the career communities and the departments that they support, that communication will make those mistakes fewer over time.

Wallace would like to know which majors could opt-out of this plan. Powers said that a department wouldn't opt-out, but that in some cases there would be departments where the professional advising footprint has a stronger engagement in that department. Wallace also asked if the career communities concepts could be shared with the faculty in more detail. Also, how closely do the career communities correlate with majors since careers and majors are not exactly the same thing? Helldobler said they will be shared again and will be nuanced based on input from faculty.

Simon pointed out that some adjunct faculty have been advisors in the past and she hopes they can serve as faculty mentors in the future, especially when it comes to careers since the adjuncts might well be the ones with the hands-on experiences needed. She also sees a mentor as one who can help students navigate the soft skills of school and life. Faculty with disabilities have been ignored again, even when a disabled student was placed in my class because I have a disability. I was the mentor who could understand her experiences. Helldobler bluntly stated that students want a continuity of care and adjuncts are contingent employees who may be here one semester and not the next. He also noted that many soft skills are covered in the Academic Support Center, WP 101, etc. We all can help students with these issues. What we need to do is provide them with a go-to person, but we need to honor and integrate the faculty expertise into the process.

Christensen said the discussion would be continued at a later Senate meeting, probably in November.

PROVOST POWER: PASS NO-CREDIT POLICY: Powers asked if there were any questions about the proposal [archived in the Packet of this meeting]. This is about grace for students in their first moments - their first two semesters - of their college experience.

Snyder asked if the professional advisor would be aware of things like requirements for medical school or should they speak with pre-professional faculty in their departments? Power said yes to both. We want students to make the right decisions and appreciate the implications for them in the years ahead.

ADJOURNMENT: Wallace and Crick moved for adjournment at 1:47pm.
The next meeting of the Faculty Senate will be on Tuesday, September $27^{\text {th }}$ at $12: 30 \mathrm{pm}$ in Ballroom BC. It will be an in-person meeting limited to only elected senators.

Respectfully submitted, Bill Duffy.

