Office of Field Experience

Survey Assessment

Survey Form:	Evaluation of Supervisor (EOS)
Semester:	Spring 2011
Respondents (N):	557
Date Analyzed:	8/31/2011

Varia	ble Key
v1	The supervisor had the necessary background to supervise.
v2	The supervisor established rapport easily.
v3	The supervisor observed a variety of classes/educational experiences environments.
v4	The supervisor provided appropriate feedback.
v5	The supervisor conducted a post observation conference, to offer feedback.
v6	The supervisor provided helpful suggestions.
v7	The supervisor was available when needed.
v8	The supervisor reviewed lesson plans.
v9	The supervisor observed an appropriate number of lessons.

Value	Кеу
6	6 High
5	5
4	4
3	3
2	2
1	1 Low

						Evaluator:				
		Coop	erating Tea	cher	Stı	ident Teach	er	Pra	cticum Stud	ent
		N	%	Mean	N	%	Mean	Ν	%	Mean
	1	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	2	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
1. The supervisor had	3	3	2.1%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
the necessary background to	4	5	3.6%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
supervise.	5	16	11.4%		2	11.1%		1	2.8%	
	6	116	82.9%		16	88.9%		35	97.2%	
	Total	140		5.8	18		5.9	36		6.0
	1	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	2	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
2. The supervisor	3	2	1.4%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
established rapport	4	5	3.5%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
easily.	5	12	8.5%		0	.0%		5	13.9%	
	6	123	86.6%		18	100.0%		31	86.1%	
	Total	142		5.8	18		6.0	36		5.9
	1	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	2	3	2.1%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
3. The supervisor observed a variety of classes/educational experiences environments.	3	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	4	6	4.2%		3	16.7%		1	2.8%	
	5	26	18.3%		1	5.6%		6	16.7%	
	6	107	75.4%		14	77.8%		29	80.6%	
	Total	142		5.6	18		5.6	36		5.8
	1	1	.7%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	2	3	2.1%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
4. The supervisor	3	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
provided appropriate	4	4	2.8%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
feedback.	5	18	12.7%		1	5.6%		1	2.8%	
	6	116	81.7%		17	94.4%		35	97.2%	
	Total	142		5.7	18		5.9	36		6.0
	1	1	.7%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	2	3	2.1%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
5. The supervisor	3	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
conducted a post observation conference,	4	4	2.8%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
to offer feedback.	5	8	5.6%		1	5.6%		3	8.3%	
	6	126	88.7%		17	94.4%		33	91.7%	
	Total	142		5.8	18		5.9	36		5.9
	1	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	2	3	2.1%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
6. The supervisor	3	1	.7%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
provided helpful	4	4	2.8%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
suggestions.	5	20	14.1%		1	5.6%		3	8.3%	
	6	114	80.3%		17	94.4%		33	91.7%	
	Total	142		5.7	18		5.9	36		5.9

PDS = Yes

						Evaluator:				
		Coop	erating Tea	cher	Stı	ident Teach	er	Pra	cticum Stud	ent
		Ν	%	Mean	Ν	%	Mean	N	%	Mean
	1	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	2	2	1.4%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	3	4	2.8%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
7. The supervisor was available when needed.	4	3	2.1%		0	.0%		1	2.8%	
available when needed.	5	25	17.6%		2	11.1%		7	19.4%	
	6	108	76.1%		16	88.9%		28	77.8%	
	Total	142		5.6	18		5.9	36		5.7
	1	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	2	2	1.4%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	3	1	.7%		0	.0%		1	2.8%	
8. The supervisor reviewed lesson plans.	4	3	2.1%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
revieweu lesson plans.	5	21	14.8%		2	11.8%		4	11.1%	
	6	115	81.0%		15	88.2%		31	86.1%	
	Total	142		5.7	17		5.9	36		5.8
	1	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	2	2	1.4%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
9. The supervisor	3	2	1.4%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
observed an appropriate	4	3	2.1%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
number of lessons.	5	17	12.0%		0	.0%		1	2.8%	
	6	118	83.1%		18	100.0%		35	97.2%	
	Total	142		5.7	18		6.0	36		6.0

PDS = **Yes**(**cont.**)

						Evaluator:				
		Coop	erating Tea	cher	Stu	ident Teach	er	Pra	cticum Stud	ent
		Ν	%	Mean	Ν	%	Mean	Ν	%	Mean
	1	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	2	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
1. The supervisor had	3	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
the necessary background to	4	3	3.3%		1	1.8%		2	3.0%	
supervise.	5	19	20.7%		9	16.4%		12	17.9%	
•	6	70	76.1%		45	81.8%		53	79.1%	
	Total	92		5.7	55		5.8	67		5.8
	1	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	2	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
2. The supervisor	3	1	1.1%		0	.0%		1	1.5%	
established rapport	4	2	2.1%		2	3.6%		2	2.9%	
easily.	5	12	12.6%		4	7.3%		13	19.1%	
	6	80	84.2%		49	89.1%		52	76.5%	
	Total	95		5.8	55		5.9	68		5.7
	1	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	2	2	2.1%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
3. The supervisor observed a variety of classes/educational experiences environments.	3	2	2.1%		0	.0%		1	1.5%	
	4	6	6.3%		0	.0%		6	9.1%	
	5	24	25.3%		11	20.0%		19	28.8%	
	6	61	64.2%		44	80.0%		40	60.6%	
	Total	95		5.5	55		5.8	66		5.5
	1	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	2	0	.0%		1	1.8%		1	1.5%	
4. The supervisor	3	1	1.1%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
provided appropriate	4	4	4.3%		3	5.5%		4	5.9%	
feedback.	5	18	19.1%		6	10.9%		11	16.2%	
	6	71	75.5%		45	81.8%		52	76.5%	
	Total	94		5.7	55		5.7	68		5.7
	1	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	2	0	.0%		1	1.8%		0	.0%	
5. The supervisor	3	0	.0%		1	1.8%		0	.0%	
conducted a post observation conference,	4	1	1.1%		0	.0%		1	1.5%	
to offer feedback.	5	6	6.3%		2	3.6%		9	13.2%	
	6	88	92.6%		51	92.7%		58	85.3%	
	Total	95		5.9	55		5.8	68		5.8
	1	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	2	0	.0%		0	.0%		2	2.9%	
6. The supervisor	3	1	1.1%		2	3.6%		0	.0%	
provided helpful	4	3	3.2%		0	.0%		3	4.4%	
suggestions.	5	24	25.3%		6	10.9%		10	14.7%	
	6	67	70.5%		47	85.5%		53	77.9%	
	Total	95		5.7	55		5.8	68		5.6

PDS = No

						Evaluator:				
		Соор	erating Tea	cher	Stu	ident Teach	er	Prac	cticum Stud	ent
		N	%	Mean	N	%	Mean	N	%	Mean
	1	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	2	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	3	1	1.1%		0	.0%		2	2.9%	
7. The supervisor was available when needed.	4	2	2.1%		1	1.8%		6	8.8%	
available when needed.	5	26	27.4%		8	14.5%		11	16.2%	
	6	66	69.5%		46	83.6%		49	72.1%	
	Total	95		5.7	55		5.8	68		5.6
	1	0	.0%		0	.0%		1	1.5%	
	2	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	3	0	.0%		0	.0%		2	2.9%	
8. The supervisor reviewed lesson plans.	4	2	2.2%		3	5.5%		3	4.4%	
revieweu lesson plans.	5	16	17.2%		6	10.9%		11	16.2%	
	6	75	80.6%		46	83.6%		51	75.0%	
	Total	93		5.8	55		5.8	68		5.6
	1	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	2	1	1.1%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
9. The supervisor	3	1	1.1%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
observed an appropriate	4	0	.0%		1	1.8%		1	1.5%	
number of lessons.	5	15	16.0%		2	3.6%		4	5.9%	
	6	77	81.9%		52	94.5%		63	92.6%	
	Total	94		5.8	55		5.9	68		5.9

PDS = **No**(**cont.**)

						Evaluator:				
		Соор	erating Tea	cher	Stu	ident Teach	er	Pra	cticum Stud	ent
		N	%	Mean	Ν	%	Mean	N	%	Mean
	1	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	2	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
1. The supervisor had	3	3	.9%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
the necessary background to	4	14	4.4%		1	1.2%		3	2.4%	
supervise.	5	46	14.4%		14	16.3%		18	14.5%	
-	6	256	80.3%		71	82.6%		103	83.1%	
	Total	319		5.7	86		5.8	124		5.8
	1	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	2	0	.0%		1	1.2%		0	.0%	
2. The supervisor	3	4	1.2%		0	.0%		1	.8%	
established rapport	4	12	3.7%		3	3.5%		4	3.2%	
easily.	5	39	12.0%		8	9.3%		23	18.4%	
	6	271	83.1%		74	86.0%		97	77.6%	
	Total	326		5.8	86		5.8	125		5.7
	1	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
3. The supervisor observed a variety of	2	7	2.2%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	3	5	1.5%		0	.0%		1	.8%	
classes/educational	4	17	5.2%		5	5.8%		7	5.7%	
experiences	5	61	18.8%		16	18.6%		34		
environments.	6	234	72.2%		65	75.6%		81	7 5.7% 34 27.6% 81 65.9%	
	Total	324		5.6	86		5.7	123	34 27.6% 81 65.9%	5.6
	1	1	.3%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	2	4	1.2%		1	1.2%		1	.8%	
1 The supervisor	3	2	.6%		1	1.2%		0	.0%	
4. The supervisor provided appropriate	4	13	4.0%		4	4.7%		8	6.4%	
feedback.	5	49	15.1%		10	11.6%		15	12.0%	
	6	256	78.8%		70	81.4%		101	80.8%	
	Total	325		5.7	86		5.7	125		5.7
	1	1	.3%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	2	3	.9%		1	1.2%		0	.0%	
5. The supervisor	3	1	.3%		1	1.2%		1	.8%	
conducted a post	4	6	1.8%		1	1.2%		2	1.6%	
observation conference, to offer feedback.	5	27	8.3%		5	5.8%		15	12.0%	
	6	288	88.3%		78	90.7%		107	85.6%	
	Total	326		5.8	86		5.8	125		5.8
	1	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	-
	2	3	.9%		1	1.2%		2	1.6%	
6. The supervisor	3	4	1.2%		2	2.3%		1	.8%	
6. The supervisor provided helpful	4	11	3.4%		0	.0%		5	4.0%	
	5	59	18.1%		10	11.6%		17	13.6%	
	6	249	76.4%		73	84.9%		100	80.0%	
	Total	326		5.7	86	/ •	5.8	125	/ .	5.7

Aggregate

						Evaluator:				
		Coop	erating Tea	cher	Stu	ident Teach	er	Prac	ticum Stud	ent
		N	%	Mean	N	%	Mean	N	%	Mean
	1	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	2	3	.9%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	3	6	1.8%		0	.0%		2	1.6%	
7. The supervisor was available when needed.	4	14	4.3%		2	2.3%		9	7.3%	
available when needed.	5	61	18.7%		14	16.3%		23	18.5%	
	6	242	74.2%		70	81.4%		90	72.6%	
	Total	326		5.6	86		5.8	124		5.6
	1	0	.0%		0	.0%		1	.8%	
	2	2	.6%		1	1.2%		0	.0%	
	3	3	.9%		0	.0%		3	2.4%	
8. The supervisor reviewed lesson plans.	4	7	2.2%		3	3.5%		3	2.4%	
reviewed lesson plans.	5	51	15.8%		13	15.3%		19	15.3%	
	6	259	80.4%		68	80.0%		98	79.0%	
	Total	322		5.7	85		5.7	124		5.7
	1	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	2	5	1.5%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
9. The supervisor	3	3	.9%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
observed an appropriate	4	3	.9%		1	1.2%		1	.8%	
number of lessons.	5	39	12.0%		5	5.8%		9	7.2%	
	6	275	84.6%		80	93.0%		115	92.0%	
	Total	325		5.8	86		5.9	125		5.9

Aggregate(cont.)

						Evaluator:				
		Coop	erating Tea	cher	Sti	udent Teach	er	Pra	cticum Stud	ent
		Ν	%	Mean	Ν	%	Mean	Ν	%	Mean
	1	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	2	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
1. The supervisor had	3	1	2.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
the necessary background to	4	3	6.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
supervise.	5	5	10.0%		2	28.6%		2	40.0%	
	6	41	82.0%		5	71.4%		3	60.0%	
	Total	50		5.7	7		5.7	5		5.6
	1	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	2	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
2. The supervisor	3	1	2.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
established rapport	4	3	5.9%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
easily.	5	3	5.9%		0	.0%		1	20.0%	
	6	44	86.3%		7	100.0%		4	80.0%	
	Total	51		5.8	7		6.0	5		5.8
	1	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	2	1	2.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
3. The supervisor observed a variety of	3	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
classes/educational	4	4	8.0%		1	14.3%		1	20.0%	
experiences environments.	5	6	12.0%		1	14.3%		1	20.0%	
environments.	6	39	78.0%		5	71.4%		3	60.0%	
	Total	50		5.6	7		5.6	5		5.4
	1	1	2.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	2	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
4. The supervisor	3	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
provided appropriate	4	3	5.9%		0	.0%		2	40.0%	
feedback.	5	5	9.8%		1	14.3%		0	.0%	
	6	42	82.4%		6	85.7%		3	60.0%	
	Total	51		5.7	7		5.9	5		5.2
	1	1	2.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	2	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
5. The supervisor	3	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
conducted a post observation conference,	4	1	2.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
to offer feedback.	5	5	9.8%		0	.0%		1	20.0%	
	6	44	86.3%		7	100.0%		4	80.0%	
	Total	51		5.8	7		6.0	5		5.8
	1	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	2	1	2.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
6. The supervisor	3	1	2.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
provided helpful	4	3	5.9%		0	.0%		1	20.0%	
suggestions.	5	6	11.8%		0	.0%		1	20.0%	
	6	40	78.4%		7	100.0%		3	60.0%	
	Total	51		5.6	7		6.0	5		5.4

By Program^a

						Evaluator:				
		Соор	erating Tea	cher	Stı	udent Teach	er	Pra	cticum Stud	ent
		Ν	%	Mean	Ν	%	Mean	Ν	%	Mean
	1	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	2	1	2.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	3	1	2.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
7. The supervisor was available when needed.	4	3	5.9%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
available when needed.	5	4	7.8%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	6	42	82.4%		7	100.0%		4	100.0%	
	Total	51		5.7	7		6.0	4		6.0
	1	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	2	1	2.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	3	1	2.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
8. The supervisor reviewed lesson plans.	4	2	4.1%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
reviewed lesson plans.	5	4	8.2%		0	.0%		1	25.0%	
	6	41	83.7%		7	100.0%		3	75.0%	
	Total	49		5.7	7		6.0	4		5.8
	1	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	2	2	3.9%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
9. The supervisor	3	1	2.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
observed an appropriate	4	1	2.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
number of lessons.	5	5	9.8%		1	14.3%		1	20.0%	
	6	42	82.4%		6	85.7%		4	80.0%	
	Total	51		5.6	7		5.9	5		5.8

By Program^a(cont.)

a. Program = Missing

						Evaluator:				
		Coop	erating Tea	cher	Stı	ident Teach	er	Prac	ticum Stud	ent
		Ν	%	Mean	Ν	%	Mean	N	%	Mean
	1	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	2	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
1. The supervisor had	3	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
the necessary background to	4	2	18.2%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
supervise.	5	4	36.4%		2	66.7%		0	.0%	
	6	5	45.5%		1	33.3%		0	.0%	
	Total	11		5.3	3		5.3	0		•
	1	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	2	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
2. The supervisor	3	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
established rapport	4	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
easily.	5	1	9.1%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	6	10	90.9%		3	100.0%		0	.0%	
	Total	11		5.9	3		6.0	0		•
	1	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
2 The	2	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
3. The supervisor observed a variety of	3	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
classes/educational	4	1	9.1%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
experiences environments.	5	6	54.5%		1	33.3%		0	.0%	
chvironnichts.	6	4	36.4%		2	66.7%		0	0.0%	
	Total	11		5.3	3		5.7	0		•
	1	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	2	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
4. The supervisor	3	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
provided appropriate	4	1	9.1%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
feedback.	5	2	18.2%		1	33.3%		0	.0%	
	6	8	72.7%		2	66.7%		0	.0%	
	Total	11		5.6	3		5.7	0		•
	1	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	2	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
5. The supervisor	3	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
conducted a post observation conference,	4	1	9.1%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
to offer feedback.	5	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	6	10	90.9%		3	100.0%		0	.0%	
	Total	11		5.8	3		6.0	0		•
	1	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	2	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
6. The supervisor	3	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
provided helpful	4	1	9.1%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
suggestions.	5	4	36.4%		1	33.3%		0	.0%	
	6	6	54.5%		2	66.7%		0	.0%	
	Total	11		5.5	3		5.7	0		•

By Program^a

						Evaluator:				
		Coop	erating Tea	cher	Stı	ident Teach	er	Prac	ticum Stud	ent
		Ν	%	Mean	Ν	%	Mean	N	%	Mean
	1	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	2	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	3	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
7. The supervisor was available when needed.	4	1	9.1%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
available when needed.	5	5	45.5%		2	66.7%		0	.0%	
	6	5	45.5%		1	33.3%		0	.0%	
	Total	11		5.4	3		5.3	0		•
	1	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	2	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	3	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
8. The supervisor reviewed lesson plans.	4	1	9.1%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
reviewed lesson plans.	5	2	18.2%		1	33.3%		0	.0%	
	6	8	72.7%		2	66.7%		0	.0%	
	Total	11		5.6	3		5.7	0		•
	1	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	2	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
9. The supervisor	3	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
observed an appropriate	4	1	9.1%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
number of lessons.	5	2	18.2%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	6	8	72.7%		3	100.0%		0	.0%	
	Total	11		5.6	3		6.0	0		•

By Program^a(cont.)

a. Program = Art

						Evaluator:				
		Coop	erating Tea	cher	Sta	udent Teach	er	Prac	cticum Stud	ent
		N	%	Mean	Ν	%	Mean	Ν	%	Mean
	1	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	2	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
1. The supervisor had	3	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
the necessary background to	4	1	3.8%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
supervise.	5	4	15.4%		0	.0%		3	25.0%	
	6	21	80.8%		1	100.0%		9	75.0%	
	Total	26		5.8	1		6.0	12		5.8
	1	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	2	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
2. The supervisor	3	1	3.7%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
established rapport	4	2	7.4%		0	.0%		1	8.3%	
easily.	5	1	3.7%		0	.0%		2	16.7%	
	6	23	85.2%		1	100.0%		9	75.0%	
	Total	27		5.7	1		6.0	12		5.7
	1	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	2	1	3.7%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
3. The supervisor observed a variety of	3	2	7.4%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
classes/educational	4	1	3.7%		1	100.0%		0	.0%	
experiences	5	6	22.2%		0	.0%		5	41.7%	
environments.	6	17	63.0%		0	.0%		7	58.3%	
	Total	27		5.3	1		4.0	12		5.6
	1	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	2	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
4. The supervisor	3	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
provided appropriate	4	1	3.7%		0	.0%		1	8.3%	
feedback.	5	5	18.5%		0	.0%		3	25.0%	
	6	21	77.8%		1	100.0%		8	66.7%	
	Total	27		5.7	1		6.0	12		5.6
	1	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	2	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
5. The supervisor	3	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
conducted a post observation conference,	4	0	.0%		0	.0%		1	8.3%	
to offer feedback.	5	1	3.7%		0	.0%		3	25.0%	
	6	26	96.3%		1	100.0%		8	66.7%	
	Total	27		6.0	1		6.0	12		5.6
	1	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	2	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
6 The super-issue	3	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
6. The supervisor provided helpful	4	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
suggestions.	5	7	25.9%		0	.0%		4	33.3%	
	6	20	74.1%		1	100.0%		8	66.7%	
	Total	27	, v	5.7	1		6.0	12		5.7

By Program^a

						Evaluator:				
		Соор	erating Tea	cher	Sti	udent Teach	er	Prac	cticum Stud	ent
		Ν	%	Mean	Ν	%	Mean	Ν	%	Mean
	1	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	2	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	3	1	3.7%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
7. The supervisor was available when needed.	4	0	.0%		0	.0%		2	16.7%	
available when needed.	5	7	25.9%		0	.0%		3	25.0%	
	6	19	70.4%		1	100.0%		7	58.3%	
	Total	27		5.6	1		6.0	12		5.4
	1	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	2	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	3	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
8. The supervisor reviewed lesson plans.	4	0	.0%		0	.0%		2	16.7%	
reviewed lesson plans.	5	4	14.8%		0	.0%		1	8.3%	
	6	23	85.2%		0	.0%		9	75.0%	
	Total	27		5.9	0		•	12		5.6
	1	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	2	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
9. The supervisor	3	1	3.7%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
observed an appropriate	4	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
number of lessons.	5	7	25.9%		0	.0%		1	8.3%	
	6	19	70.4%		1	100.0%		11	91.7%	
	Total	27		5.6	1		6.0	12		5.9

By Program^a(cont.)

a. Program = P-3

		C	(* T			Evaluator:		n		
			erating Tea			udent Teach			cticum Stud	
		Ν	%	Mean	Ν	%	Mean	Ν	%	Mean
	1	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	2	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
1. The supervisor had the necessary	3	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
background to	4	4	12.5%		0	.0%		2	6.3%	
supervise.	5	6	18.8%		1	20.0%		6	18.8%	
	6	22	68.8%		4	80.0%		24	75.0%	
	Total	32		5.6	5		5.8	32		5.7
	1	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	2	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
2. The supervisor	3	1	3.1%		0	.0%		1	3.0%	
established rapport	4	1	3.1%		0	.0%		1	3.0%	
easily.	5	8	25.0%		0	.0%		8	24.2%	
	6	22	68.8%		5	100.0%		23	69.7%	
	Total	32		5.6	5		6.0	33		5.6
	1	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
2 The announder	2	2	6.3%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
3. The supervisor observed a variety of	3	0	.0%		0	.0%		1	3.2%	
classes/educational	4	4	12.5%		0	.0%		2	6.5%	
experiences environments.	5	7	21.9%		1	20.0%		7	22.6%	
environments.	6	19	59.4%		4	80.0%		21	67.7%	
	Total	32		5.3	5		5.8	31		5.5
	1	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	2	1	3.1%		0	.0%		1	3.0%	
4. The supervisor	3	2	6.3%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
provided appropriate	4	3	9.4%		0	.0%		4	12.1%	
feedback.	5	9	28.1%		1	20.0%		5	15.2%	
	6	17	53.1%		4	80.0%		23	69.7%	
	Total	32		5.2	5		5.8	33		5.5
	1	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	2	1	3.1%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
5. The supervisor	3	0	.0%		1	20.0%		1	3.0%	
conducted a post	4	2	6.3%		0	.0%		1	3.0%	
observation conference, to offer feedback.	5	3	9.4%		1	20.0%		5	15.2%	
	6	26	81.3%		3	60.0%		26	78.8%	
	Total	32		5.7	5		5.2	33		5.7
	1	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	2	1	3.1%		0	.0%		2	6.1%	
6. The supervisor	3	1	3.1%		0	.0%		1	3.0%	
provided helpful	4	3	9.4%		0	.0%		4	12.1%	
suggestions.	5	7	21.9%		0	.0%		6	18.2%	
	6	20	62.5%		5	100.0%		20	60.6%	
	Total	32		5.4	5		6.0	33		5.2
	1	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	2	1	3.1%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	3	1	3.1%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
7. The supervisor was	4	1	3.1%		0	.0%		4	12.1%	
available when needed.	5	8	25.0%		1	20.0%		7	21.2%	
	6	21	<u> </u>		4	80.0%		22	<u>66.7%</u>	
	o Total	32	03.070	5.5	5	00.070	5.8	33	00.770	5.5

By Program^a

						Evaluator:				
		Coop	erating Tea	cher	Stu	udent Teach	er	Pra	cticum Stud	ent
		N	%	Mean	N	%	Mean	Ν	%	Mean
	1	0	.0%		0	.0%		1	3.0%	
	2	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	3	0	.0%		0	.0%		2	6.1%	
8. The supervisor reviewed lesson plans.	4	2	6.5%		0	.0%		1	3.0%	
reviewed lesson plans.	5	5	16.1%		0	.0%		6	18.2%	
	6	24	77.4%		5	100.0%		23	69.7%	
	Total	31		5.7	5		6.0	33		5.4
	1	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	2	2	6.3%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
9. The supervisor	3	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
observed an appropriate	4	0	.0%		0	.0%		1	3.0%	
number of lessons.	5	6	18.8%		0	.0%		5	15.2%	
	6	24	75.0%		5	100.0%		27	81.8%	
	Total	32		5.6	5		6.0	33		5.8

By Program^a(cont.)

a. Program = P-3/K-5

						Evaluator:				
		Соор	erating Tea	cher	Stu	ident Teach	er	Pra	cticum Stud	ent
		N	%	Mean	Ν	%	Mean	Ν	%	Mean
	1	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	2	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
1. The supervisor had	3	1	2.4%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
the necessary background to	4	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
supervise.	5	4	9.5%		4	23.5%		0	.0%	
	6	37	88.1%		13	76.5%		12	100.0%	
	Total	42		5.8	17		5.8	12		6.0
	1	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	2	0	.0%		1	5.9%		0	.0%	
2. The supervisor	3	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
established rapport	4	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
easily.	5	4	9.5%		3	17.6%		0	.0%	
	6	38	90.5%		13	76.5%		12	100.0%	
	Total	42		5.9	17		5.6	12		6.0
	1	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
A TI I	2	1	2.4%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
3. The supervisor observed a variety of	3	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
classes/educational	4	0	.0%		1	5.9%		0	.0%	
experiences environments.	5	7	16.7%		4	23.5%		3	25.0%	
environments.	6	34	81.0%		12	70.6%		9	75.0%	
	Total	42		5.7	17		5.6	12		5.8
	1	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	2	1	2.4%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
4. The supervisor	3	0	.0%		1	5.9%		0	.0%	
provided appropriate	4	0	.0%		1	5.9%		0	.0%	
feedback.	5	6	14.3%		2	11.8%		0	.0%	
	6	35	83.3%		13	76.5%		12	100.0%	
	Total	42		5.8	17		5.6	12		6.0
	1	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	2	1	2.4%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
5. The supervisor	3	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
conducted a post observation conference,	4	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
to offer feedback.	5	2	4.8%		1	5.9%		1	8.3%	
	6	39	92.9%		16	94.1%		11	91.7%	
	Total	42		5.9	17		5.9	12		5.9
	1	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	2	0	.0%		1	5.9%		0	.0%	
6. The supervisor	3	1	2.4%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
provided helpful	4	1	2.4%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
suggestions.	5	6	14.3%		1	5.9%		0	.0%	
	6	34	81.0%		15	88.2%		12	100.0%	
	Total	42		5.7	17		5.7	12		6.0

By Program^a

						Evaluator:				
		Coop	erating Tea	cher	Stu	ident Teach	er	Pra	cticum Stud	ent
		N	%	Mean	Ν	%	Mean	Ν	%	Mean
	1	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	2	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	3	1	2.4%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
7. The supervisor was available when needed.	4	1	2.4%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
available when needed.	5	6	14.3%		5	29.4%		1	8.3%	
	6	34	81.0%		12	70.6%		11	91.7%	
	Total	42		5.7	17		5.7	12		5.9
	1	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	2	0	.0%		1	5.9%		0	.0%	
	3	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
8. The supervisor reviewed lesson plans.	4	0	.0%		1	5.9%		0	.0%	
reviewed lesson plans.	5	7	16.7%		4	23.5%		0	.0%	
	6	35	83.3%		11	64.7%		12	100.0%	
	Total	42		5.8	17		5.4	12		6.0
	1	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	2	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
9. The supervisor	3	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
observed an appropriate	4	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
number of lessons.	5	2	4.8%		1	5.9%		0	.0%	
	6	40	95.2%		16	94.1%		12	100.0%	
	Total	42		6.0	17		5.9	12		6.0

By Program^a(cont.)

a. Program = K-5

						Evaluator:				
	_		erating Tea			ident Teach			cticum Stud	
		N	%	Mean	Ν	%	Mean	Ν	%	Mean
	1	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	2	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
1. The supervisor had	3	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
the necessary background to	4	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
supervise.	5	1	10.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	6	9	90.0%		0	.0%		4	100.0%	
	Total	10		5.9	0		•	4		6.0
	1	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	2	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
2. The supervisor	3	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
established rapport	4	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
easily.	5	2	20.0%		0	.0%		1	25.0%	
	6	8	80.0%		0	.0%		3	75.0%	
	Total	10		5.8	0		•	4		5.8
	1	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
2 The aver	2	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
3. The supervisor observed a variety of	3	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
classes/educational	4	1	10.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
experiences environments.	5	2	20.0%		0	.0%		1	25.0%	
environments.	6	7	70.0%		0	.0%		3	75.0%	
	Total	10		5.6	0			4		5.8
	1	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	2	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
4. The supervisor	3	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
provided appropriate	4	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
feedback.	5	3	30.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	6	7	70.0%		0	.0%		4	100.0%	
	Total	10		5.7	0			4		6.0
	1	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	2	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
5. The supervisor	3	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
conducted a post observation conference,	4	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
to offer feedback.	5	2	20.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	6	8	80.0%		0	.0%		4	100.0%	
	Total	10		5.8	0			4		6.0
	1	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	2	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
6. The supervisor	3	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
provided helpful	4	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
suggestions.	5	2	20.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	6	8	80.0%		0	.0%		4	100.0%	
	Total	10		5.8	0			4		6.0
	1	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	2	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	3	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
7. The supervisor was	4	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
available when needed.	5	2	20.0%		0	.0%		1	25.0%	
	6	8	80.0%		0	.0%		3	75.0%	
	Total	10		5.8	0			4		5.8

By Program^a

						Evaluator:				
		Coop	erating Tea	cher	Stı	ident Teach	er	Pra	cticum Stud	ent
		Ν	%	Mean	N	%	Mean	Ν	%	Mean
	1	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	2	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	3	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
8. The supervisor reviewed lesson plans.	4	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
reviewed lesson plans.	5	3	30.0%		0	.0%		1	25.0%	
	6	7	70.0%		0	.0%		3	75.0%	
	Total	10		5.7	0		•	4		5.8
	1	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	2	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
9. The supervisor	3	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
observed an appropriate	4	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
number of lessons.	5	2	20.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	6	8	80.0%		0	.0%		4	100.0%	
	Total	10		5.8	0		•	4		6.0

By Program^a(cont.)

a. Program = K-5/5-8

						Evaluator:				
		Соор	erating Tea	cher	Stu	ıdent Teach	er	Prac	cticum Stud	ent
		N	%	Mean	Ν	%	Mean	Ν	%	Mean
	1	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	2	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
1. The supervisor had	3	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
the necessary background to	4	3	5.8%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
supervise.	5	10	19.2%		1	4.8%		3	13.0%	
•	6	39	75.0%		20	95.2%		20	87.0%	
	Total	52		5.7	21		6.0	23		5.9
	1	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	2	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
2. The supervisor	3	1	1.8%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
established rapport	4	2	3.6%		0	.0%		1	4.3%	
easily.	5	7	12.7%		2	9.5%		3	13.0%	
	6	45	81.8%		19	90.5%		19	82.6%	
	Total	55		5.7	21		5.9	23		5.8
	1	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	2	1	1.9%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
3. The supervisor observed a variety of	3	2	3.7%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
classes/educational	4	2	3.7%		0	.0%		1	4.3%	
experiences	5	11	20.4%		3	14.3%		6	26.1%	
environments.	6	38	70.4%		18	85.7%		16	69.6%	
	Total	54		5.5	21		5.9	23		5.7
	1	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	2	1	1.8%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	3	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
4. The supervisor provided appropriate	4	1	1.8%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
feedback.	5	10	18.2%		1	4.8%		3	13.0%	
	6	43	78.2%		20	95.2%		20	87.0%	
	Total	55	/012/0	5.7	21	/012/0	6.0	23	0/10/0	5.9
	1	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	2	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
5. The supervisor	3	1	1.8%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
conducted a post	4	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
observation conference, to offer feedback.	5	9	16.4%		2	9.5%		1	4.3%	
to oner recuback.	6	45	81.8%		19	90.5%		22	95.7%	
	Total	55	021070	5.8	21	20.070	5.9	22		6.0
	1	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	2	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
(The second	3	1	1.8%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
6. The supervisor provided helpful	4	1	1.8%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
suggestions.	5	14	25.5%		3	14.3%		3	13.0%	
	6	39	70.9%		18	85.7%		20	87.0%	
	Total	55	104270	5.7	21	00170	5.9	20	074070	5.9
	1	0	.0%	5.1	0	.0%		0	.0%	
	2	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	3	1	1.8%		0	.0%		1	4.3%	
7. The supervisor was	4	2	3.6%		0	.0%		1	4.3%	
available when needed.	5	12	21.8%		1	4.8%	<u> </u>	5	21.7%	
	6	40	72.7%		20	95.2%		16	<u>69.6%</u>	
	Total	40 55	14.1/0	5.7	20	/0/ 10/0	6.0	23	07.070	5.6
	Total	22		5./	41		0.0	43		5.0

By Program^a

						Evaluator:				
		Coop	erating Tea	cher	Stı	ident Teach	er	Pra	cticum Stud	ent
		N	%	Mean	Ν	%	Mean	Ν	%	Mean
	1	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	2	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
0.771	3	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
8. The supervisor reviewed lesson plans.	4	1	1.8%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
reviewed lesson plans.	5	10	18.2%		2	9.5%		3	13.0%	
	6	44	80.0%		19	90.5%		20	87.0%	
	Total	55		5.8	21		5.9	23		5.9
	1	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	2	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
9. The supervisor	3	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
observed an appropriate	4	1	1.8%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
number of lessons.	5	8	14.5%		1	4.8%		0	.0%	
	6	46	83.6%		20	95.2%		23	100.0%	
	Total	55		5.8	21		6.0	23		6.0

By Program^a(cont.)

a. Program = K-5/SPED

]	Evaluator:				
		Coop	perating Tea	cher	Stu	dent Teach	er	Pra	cticum Stud	ent
		Ν	%	Mean	N	%	Mean	Ν	%	Mean
	1	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	2	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
1. The supervisor had	3	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
the necessary background to	4	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
supervise.	5	1	20.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	6	4	80.0%		0	.0%		1	100.0%	
	Total	5		5.8	0		•	1		6.0
	1	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	2	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
2. The supervisor	3	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
established rapport	4	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
easily.	5	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	6	5	100.0%		0	.0%		1	100.0%	
	Total	5		6.0	0		•	1		6.0
	1	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	2	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
3. The supervisor observed a variety of	3	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
classes/educational	4	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
experiences environments.	5	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
environments.	6	5	100.0%		0	.0%		1	100.0%	
	Total	5		6.0	0			1		6.0
	1	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	2	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
4. The supervisor	3	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
provided appropriate	4	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
feedback.	5	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	6	5	100.0%		0	.0%		1	100.0%	
	Total	5		6.0	0		•	1		6.0
	1	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	2	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
5. The supervisor	3	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
conducted a post observation conference,	4	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
to offer feedback.	5	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	6	5	100.0%		0	.0%		1	100.0%	
	Total	5		6.0	0			1		6.0
	1	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	2	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
6. The supervisor	3	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
provided helpful	4	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
suggestions.	5	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	-
	6	5	100.0%		0	.0%		1	100.0%	
	Total	5		6.0	0			1		6.0

By Program^a

						Evaluator:				
		Coop	erating Tea	cher	Stu	ident Teach	ier	Pra	cticum Stud	ent
		Ν	%	Mean	Ν	%	Mean	N	%	Mean
	1	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	2	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	3	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
7. The supervisor was available when needed.	4	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
available when needed.	5	1	20.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	6	4	80.0%		0	.0%		1	100.0%	
	Total	5		5.8	0			1		6.0
	1	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	2	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	3	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
8. The supervisor reviewed lesson plans.	4	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
reviewed lesson plans.	5	1	20.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	6	4	80.0%		0	.0%		1	100.0%	
	Total	5		5.8	0			1		6.0
	1	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	2	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
9. The supervisor	3	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
observed an appropriate	4	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
number of lessons.	5	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	6	4	100.0%		0	.0%		1	100.0%	
	Total	4		6.0	0			1		6.0

By Program^a(cont.)

a. Program = K-12/SPED

						Evaluator:				
		Соор	erating Tea	cher	Stu	dent Teach	er	Pra	cticum Stud	ent
		Ν	%	Mean	Ν	%	Mean	Ν	%	Mean
	1	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	2	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
1. The supervisor had	3	1	10.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
the necessary background to	4	0	.0%		0	.0%		1	12.5%	
supervise.	5	1	10.0%		1	10.0%		1	12.5%	
-	6	8	80.0%		9	90.0%		6	75.0%	
	Total	10		5.6	10		5.9	8		5.6
	1	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	2	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
2. The supervisor	3	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
established rapport	4	1	10.0%		1	10.0%		1	12.5%	
easily.	5	2	20.0%		1	10.0%		1	12.5%	
	6	7	70.0%		8	80.0%		6	75.0%	
	Total	10		5.6	10		5.7	8		5.6
	1	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	2	1	10.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
3. The supervisor observed a variety of	3	1	10.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
classes/educational	4	0	.0%		1	10.0%		0	.0%	
experiences	5	0	.0%		1	10.0%		4	50.0%	
environments.	6	8	80.0%		8	80.0%		4	50.0%	
	Total	10		5.3	10		5.7	8		5.5
	1	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	2	1	10.0%		1	10.0%		0	.0%	
4. The supervisor	3	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
provided appropriate	4	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
feedback.	5	2	20.0%		1	10.0%		0	.0%	
	6	7	70.0%		8	80.0%		8	100.0%	
	Total	10		5.4	10		5.5	8		6.0
	1	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	2	1	10.0%		1	10.0%		0	.0%	
5. The supervisor	3	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
conducted a post observation conference,	4	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
to offer feedback.	5	1	10.0%		0	.0%		1	12.5%	
	6	8	80.0%		9	90.0%		7	87.5%	
	Total	10		5.5	10		5.6	8		5.9
	1	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	2	1	10.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
6. The supervisor	3	0	.0%		1	10.0%		0	.0%	
provided helpful	4	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
suggestions.	5	1	10.0%		1	10.0%		0	.0%	
	6	8	80.0%		8	80.0%		8	100.0%	
	Total	10		5.5	10		5.6	8		6.0

By Program^a

						Evaluator:				
		Соор	erating Tea	cher	Stu	ident Teach	er	Prac	cticum Stud	ent
		Ν	%	Mean	Ν	%	Mean	Ν	%	Mean
	1	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	2	1	10.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	3	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
7. The supervisor was available when needed.	4	2	20.0%		1	10.0%		1	12.5%	
available when needed.	5	1	10.0%		1	10.0%		2	25.0%	
	6	6	60.0%		8	80.0%		5	62.5%	
	Total	10		5.1	10		5.7	8		5.5
	1	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	2	1	10.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	3	1	10.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
8. The supervisor reviewed lesson plans.	4	0	.0%		1	10.0%		0	.0%	
reviewed lesson plans.	5	1	10.0%		1	10.0%		1	12.5%	
	6	7	70.0%		8	80.0%		7	87.5%	
	Total	10		5.2	10		5.7	8		5.9
	1	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	2	1	10.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
9. The supervisor	3	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
observed an appropriate	4	0	.0%		1	10.0%		0	.0%	
number of lessons.	5	0	.0%		0	.0%		1	12.5%	
	6	9	90.0%		9	90.0%		7	87.5%	
	Total	10		5.6	10		5.8	8		5.9

By Program^a(cont.)

a. Program = English

						Evaluator:				
		Coop	erating Tea	cher	Sti	udent Teach	er	Pra	cticum Stud	ent
		Ν	%	Mean	Ν	%	Mean	Ν	%	Mean
	1	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	2	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
1. The supervisor had	3	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
the necessary background to	4	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
supervise.	5	2	25.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	6	6	75.0%		4	100.0%		4	100.0%	
	Total	8		5.8	4		6.0	4		6.0
	1	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	2	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
2. The supervisor	3	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
established rapport	4	1	11.1%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
easily.	5	2	22.2%		1	25.0%		1	25.0%	
	6	6	66.7%		3	75.0%		3	75.0%	
	Total	9		5.6	4		5.8	4		5.8
	1	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	2	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
3. The supervisor observed a variety of	3	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
classes/educational	4	2	22.2%		1	25.0%		0	.0%	
experiences environments.	5	3	33.3%		0	.0%		2	50.0%	
environments.	6	4	44.4%		3	75.0%		2	50.0%	
	Total	9		5.2	4		5.5	4		5.5
	1	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	2	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
4. The supervisor	3	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
provided appropriate	4	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
feedback.	5	2	22.2%		1	25.0%		0	.0%	
	6	7	77.8%		3	75.0%		4	100.0%	
	Total	9		5.8	4		5.8	4		6.0
	1	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	2	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
5. The supervisor	3	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
conducted a post observation conference,	4	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
to offer feedback.	5	1	11.1%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	6	8	88.9%		4	100.0%		4	100.0%	
	Total	9		5.9	4		6.0	4		6.0
	1	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	2	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
6. The supervisor	3	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
provided helpful	4	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
suggestions.	5	1	11.1%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	6	8	88.9%		4	100.0%		4	100.0%	
	Total	9		5.9	4		6.0	4		6.0

By Program^a

	Î					Evaluator:				
		Coop	erating Tea	cher	Stı	ident Teach	er	Pra	cticum Stud	ent
		Ν	%	Mean	Ν	%	Mean	Ν	%	Mean
	1	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	2	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	3	0	.0%		0	.0%		1	25.0%	
7. The supervisor was available when needed.	4	2	22.2%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
available when needed.	5	2	22.2%		1	25.0%		1	25.0%	
	6	5	55.6%		3	75.0%		2	50.0%	
	Total	9		5.3	4		5.8	4		5.0
	1	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	2	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	3	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
8. The supervisor reviewed lesson plans.	4	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
reviewed lesson plans.	5	2	25.0%		1	25.0%		1	25.0%	
	6	6	75.0%		3	75.0%		3	75.0%	
	Total	8		5.8	4		5.8	4		5.8
	1	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	2	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
9. The supervisor	3	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
observed an appropriate	4	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
number of lessons.	5	1	11.1%		1	25.0%		0	.0%	
	6	8	88.9%		3	75.0%		4	100.0%	
	Total	9		5.9	4		5.8	4		6.0

By Program^a(cont.)

a. Program = Foreign Language

						Evaluator:				
		Coop	erating Tea	cher	Sti	udent Teach	er	Pra	cticum Stud	ent
		Ν	%	Mean	Ν	%	Mean	Ν	%	Mean
	1	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	2	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
1. The supervisor had	3	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
the necessary background to	4	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
supervise.	5	3	42.9%		2	100.0%		1	50.0%	
-	6	4	57.1%		0	.0%		1	50.0%	
	Total	7		5.6	2		5.0	2		5.5
	1	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	2	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
2. The supervisor	3	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
established rapport	4	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
easily.	5	2	25.0%		0	.0%		1	50.0%	
	6	6	75.0%		2	100.0%		1	50.0%	
	Total	8		5.7	2		6.0	2		5.5
	1	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	2	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
3. The supervisor observed a variety of	3	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
classes/educational	4	1	12.5%		0	.0%		1	50.0%	
experiences	5	3	37.5%		2	100.0%		0	.0%	
environments.	6	4	50.0%		0	.0%		1	50.0%	
	Total	8		5.4	2		5.0	2		5.0
	1	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	2	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
4. The supervisor	3	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
provided appropriate	4	1	14.3%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
feedback.	5	1	14.3%		1	50.0%		1	50.0%	
	6	5	71.4%		1	50.0%		1	50.0%	
	Total	7		5.6	2		5.5	2		5.5
	1	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	2	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
5. The supervisor	3	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
conducted a post observation conference,	4	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
to offer feedback.	5	0	.0%		0	.0%		1	50.0%	
	6	8	100.0%		2	100.0%		1	50.0%	
	Total	8		6.0	2		6.0	2		5.5
	1	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	2	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
(The super-issue	3	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
6. The supervisor provided helpful	4	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
suggestions.	5	2	25.0%		1	50.0%		1	50.0%	
	6	6	75.0%		1	50.0%		1	50.0%	
	Total	8		5.8	2		5.5	2		5.5

By Program^a

						Evaluator:				
		Coop	erating Tea	cher	Stı	ident Teach	er	Prac	cticum Stud	ent
		Ν	%	Mean	Ν	%	Mean	Ν	%	Mean
	1	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	2	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	3	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
7. The supervisor was available when needed.	4	2	25.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
available when needed.	5	2	25.0%		0	.0%		1	50.0%	
	6	4	50.0%		2	100.0%		1	50.0%	
	Total	8		5.3	2		6.0	2		5.5
	1	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	2	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	3	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
8. The supervisor reviewed lesson plans.	4	1	12.5%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
reviewed lesson plans.	5	1	12.5%		1	50.0%		1	50.0%	
	6	6	75.0%		1	50.0%		1	50.0%	
	Total	8		5.6	2		5.5	2		5.5
	1	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	2	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
9. The supervisor	3	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
observed an appropriate	4	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
number of lessons.	5	0	.0%		1	50.0%		1	50.0%	
	6	8	100.0%		1	50.0%		1	50.0%	
	Total	8		6.0	2		5.5	2		5.5

By Program^a(cont.)

a. Program = Math

]	Evaluator:				
		Coop	perating Tea	cher	Stu	dent Teach	er	Pra	cticum Stud	ent
		Ν	%	Mean	Ν	%	Mean	Ν	%	Mean
	1	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	2	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
1. The supervisor had	3	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
the necessary background to	4	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
supervise.	5	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	6	6	100.0%		0	.0%		5	100.0%	
	Total	6		6.0	0		•	5		6.0
	1	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	2	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
2. The supervisor	3	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
established rapport	4	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
easily.	5	1	16.7%		0	.0%		1	20.0%	
	6	5	83.3%		0	.0%		4	80.0%	
	Total	6		5.8	0			5		5.8
	1	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
2 171	2	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
3. The supervisor observed a variety of	3	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
classes/educational	4	0	.0%		0	.0%		1	20.0%	
experiences environments.	5	2	33.3%		0	.0%		1	20.0%	
environments.	6	4	66.7%		0	.0%		3	60.0%	
	Total	6		5.7	0			5		5.4
	1	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	2	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
4. The supervisor	3	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
provided appropriate	4	1	16.7%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
feedback.	5	0	.0%		0	.0%		1	20.0%	
	6	5	83.3%		0	.0%		4	80.0%	
	Total	6		5.7	0		•	5		5.8
	1	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	2	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
5. The supervisor	3	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
conducted a post observation conference,	4	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
to offer feedback.	5	1	16.7%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	6	5	83.3%		0	.0%		5	100.0%	
	Total	6		5.8	0			5		6.0
	1	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	2	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
6. The supervisor	3	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
provided helpful	4	1	16.7%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
suggestions.	5	0	.0%		0	.0%		1	20.0%	
	6	5	83.3%		0	.0%		4	80.0%	
	Total	6		5.7	0		•	5		5.8

By Program^a

						Evaluator:				
		Соор	erating Tea	cher	Stu	ident Teach	er	Pra	cticum Stud	ent
		Ν	%	Mean	N	%	Mean	N	%	Mean
	1	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	2	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	3	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
7. The supervisor was available when needed.	4	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
available when needed.	5	1	16.7%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	6	5	83.3%		0	.0%		5	100.0%	
	Total	6		5.8	0		•	5		6.0
	1	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	2	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	3	0	.0%		0	.0%		1	20.0%	
8. The supervisor reviewed lesson plans.	4	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
reviewed lesson plans.	5	2	33.3%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	6	4	66.7%		0	.0%		4	80.0%	
	Total	6		5.7	0		•	5		5.4
	1	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	2	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
9. The supervisor	3	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
observed an appropriate	4	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
number of lessons.	5	1	16.7%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	6	5	83.3%		0	.0%		5	100.0%	
	Total	6		5.8	0		•	5		6.0

By Program^a(cont.)

a. Program = Music

						Evaluator:				
		Соор	erating Tea	cher	Sti	ident Teach	er	Pra	cticum Stud	ent
		Ν	%	Mean	Ν	%	Mean	Ν	%	Mean
	1	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	2	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
1. The supervisor had	3	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
the necessary background to	4	1	2.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
supervise.	5	4	8.2%		0	.0%		2	28.6%	
	6	44	89.8%		5	100.0%		5	71.4%	
	Total	49		5.9	5		6.0	7		5.7
	1	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	2	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
2. The supervisor	3	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
established rapport	4	1	2.0%		1	20.0%		0	.0%	
easily.	5	5	10.2%		0	.0%		2	28.6%	
	6	43	87.8%		4	80.0%		5	71.4%	
	Total	49		5.9	5		5.6	7		5.7
	1	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	2	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
3. The supervisor observed a variety of	3	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
classes/educational	4	1	2.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
experiences	5	6	12.2%		1	20.0%		3	42.9%	
environments.	6	42	85.7%		4	80.0%		4	57.1%	
	Total	49		5.8	5		5.8	7		5.6
	1	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	2	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
4 The sum our is an	3	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
4. The supervisor provided appropriate	4	2	4.1%		1	20.0%		1	14.3%	
feedback.	5	2	4.1%		0	.0%		2	28.6%	
	6	45	91.8%		4	80.0%		4	57.1%	
	Total	49		5.9	5		5.6	7		5.4
	1	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	2	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
5. The supervisor	3	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
conducted a post observation conference,	4	2	4.1%		1	20.0%		0	.0%	
to offer feedback.	5	2	4.1%		0	.0%		2	28.6%	
	6	45	91.8%		4	80.0%		5	71.4%	
	Total	49		5.9	5		5.6	7		5.7
	1	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	2	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
6 The super-isses	3	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
6. The supervisor provided helpful	4	1	2.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
suggestions.	5	6	12.2%		1	20.0%		1	14.3%	
	6	42	85.7%		4	80.0%		6	85.7%	
	Total	49		5.8	5		5.8	7		5.9

By Program^a

						Evaluator:				
		Соор	erating Tea	cher	Stı	ident Teach	er	Pra	cticum Stud	ent
		Ν	%	Mean	Ν	%	Mean	Ν	%	Mean
	1	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	2	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	3	1	2.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
7. The supervisor was available when needed.	4	0	.0%		1	20.0%		1	14.3%	
available when needed.	5	9	18.4%		0	.0%		1	14.3%	
	6	39	79.6%		4	80.0%		5	71.4%	
	Total	49		5.8	5		5.6	7		5.6
	1	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	2	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	3	1	2.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
8. The supervisor reviewed lesson plans.	4	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
reviewed lesson plans.	5	5	10.2%		1	20.0%		2	28.6%	
	6	43	87.8%		4	80.0%		5	71.4%	
	Total	49		5.8	5		5.8	7		5.7
	1	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	2	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
9. The supervisor	3	1	2.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
observed an appropriate	4	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
number of lessons.	5	4	8.2%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	6	44	89.8%		5	100.0%		7	100.0%	
	Total	49		5.9	5		6.0	7		6.0

By Program^a(cont.)

a. Program = PE

						Evaluator:				
		Coop	perating Tea	cher	Stu	udent Teach	er	Pra	cticum Stud	ent
		Ν	%	Mean	Ν	%	Mean	Ν	%	Mean
	1	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	2	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
1. The supervisor had	3	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
the necessary background to	4	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
supervise.	5	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	6	1	100.0%		5	100.0%		1	100.0%	
	Total	1		6.0	5		6.0	1		6.0
	1	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	2	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
2. The supervisor	3	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
established rapport	4	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
easily.	5	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	6	1	100.0%		5	100.0%		1	100.0%	
	Total	1		6.0	5		6.0	1		6.0
	1	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
4 (7)	2	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
3. The supervisor observed a variety of	3	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
classes/educational	4	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
experiences environments.	5	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
environments.	6	1	100.0%		5	100.0%		1	100.0%	
	Total	1		6.0	5		6.0	1		6.0
	1	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	2	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
4. The supervisor	3	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
provided appropriate	4	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
feedback.	5	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	6	1	100.0%		5	100.0%		1	100.0%	
	Total	1		6.0	5		6.0	1		6.0
	1	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	2	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
5. The supervisor	3	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
conducted a post observation conference,	4	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
to offer feedback.	5	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	6	1	100.0%		5	100.0%		1	100.0%	
	Total	1		6.0	5		6.0	1		6.0
	1	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	2	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
6. The supervisor	3	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
provided helpful	4	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
suggestions.	5	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	6	1	100.0%		5	100.0%		1	100.0%	
	Total	1		6.0	5		6.0	1		6.0

By Program^a

		Evaluator:								
		Cooperating Teacher			Student Teacher			Practicum Student		
		Ν	%	Mean	Ν	%	Mean	Ν	%	Mean
	1	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	2	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	3	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
7. The supervisor was available when needed.	4	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
avanable when needed.	5	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	6	1	100.0%		5	100.0%		1	100.0%	
	Total	1		6.0	5		6.0	1		6.0
	1	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	2	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	3	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
8. The supervisor reviewed lesson plans.	4	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
reviewed lesson plans.	5	1	100.0%		0	.0%		1	100.0%	
	6	0	.0%		5	100.0%		0	.0%	
	Total	1		5.0	5		6.0	1		5.0
9. The supervisor observed an appropriate number of lessons.	1	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	2	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	3	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	4	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	5	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	6	1	100.0%		5	100.0%		1	100.0%	
	Total	1		6.0	5		6.0	1		6.0

By Program^a(cont.)

a. Program = Science

		Evaluator:								
		Cooperating Teacher			Student Teacher			Practicum Student		
		Ν	%	Mean	Ν	%	Mean	Ν	%	Mean
1. The supervisor had	1	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	2	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	3	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
the necessary background to	4	0	.0%		1	16.7%		0	.0%	
supervise.	5	1	10.0%		1	16.7%		0	.0%	
-	6	9	90.0%		4	66.7%		8	100.0%	
	Total	10		5.9	6		5.5	8		6.0
	1	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	2	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
2. The supervisor	3	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
established rapport	4	1	10.0%		1	16.7%		0	.0%	
easily.	5	1	10.0%		1	16.7%		2	25.0%	
	6	8	80.0%		4	66.7%		6	75.0%	
	Total	10		5.7	6		5.5	8		5.8
	1	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	2	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
3. The supervisor observed a variety of	3	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
classes/educational	4	0	.0%		0	.0%		1	12.5%	
experiences	5	2	20.0%		2	33.3%		1	12.5%	
environments.	6	8	80.0%		4	66.7%		6	75.0%	
	Total	10		5.8	6		5.7	8		5.6
	1	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	2	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
4. The supervisor	3	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
provided appropriate	4	0	.0%		2	33.3%		0	.0%	
feedback.	5	2	20.0%		1	16.7%		0	.0%	
	6	8	80.0%		3	50.0%		8	100.0%	
	Total	10		5.8	6		5.2	8		6.0
	1	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	2	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
5. The supervisor conducted a post	3	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	4	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
observation conference, to offer feedback.	5	0	.0%		1	16.7%		0	.0%	
	6	10	100.0%		5	83.3%		8	100.0%	
	Total	10		6.0	6		5.8	8		6.0
6. The supervisor provided helpful suggestions.	1	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	2	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	3	0	.0%		1	16.7%		0	.0%	
	4	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	5	3	30.0%		2	33.3%		0	.0%	
	6	7	70.0%		3	50.0%		8	100.0%	
	Total	10		5.7	6		5.2	8		6.0

By Program^a

		Evaluator:								
		Cooperating Teacher			Student Teacher			Practicum Student		
		Ν	%	Mean	Ν	%	Mean	Ν	%	Mean
7. The supervisor was available when needed.	1	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	2	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	3	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	4	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	5	1	10.0%		3	50.0%		1	12.5%	
	6	9	90.0%		3	50.0%		7	87.5%	
	Total	10		5.9	6		5.5	8		5.9
	1	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	2	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	3	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
8. The supervisor reviewed lesson plans.	4	0	.0%		1	16.7%		0	.0%	
reviewed lesson plans.	5	3	30.0%		2	33.3%		1	12.5%	
	6	7	70.0%		3	50.0%		7	87.5%	
	Total	10		5.7	6		5.3	8		5.9
	1	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
9. The supervisor observed an appropriate number of lessons.	2	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	3	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	4	0	.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	5	1	10.0%		0	.0%		0	.0%	
	6	9	90.0%		6	100.0%		8	100.0%	
	Total	10		5.9	6		6.0	8		6.0

By Program^a(cont.)

a. Program = Social Studies