## **Changes Based on Data**

## PROGRAM: Initial program: P-3

## Fall 2011 Semester

Name of	Results/ Data	Changes Made	Changes Planned	How data is shared with
Assessment		Date	Date	faculty, candidates, and
				professional community
	100 percent of the teacher	In Spring 2011, the	The workshop was well-attended.	Faculty Retreats
Assessment 1:	candidates passed the Early	first free	Faculty supplied supplementary	Departmental meetings
Praxis II Test	Childhood Content Knowledge	preparation	materials in areas of lowest	
	(0022) Praxis II	workshop was	performance: Language and	
	!	offered to	Literacy (73%), Science (70%) and	
	!	candidates	Mathematics (70%).	
			Plans to continue workshop	
	!		offerings are already in place for	
	!		Fall 2011.	
	The 2008-2009 data indicated	The overall 3.0 GPA	Although this policy is clearly	Departmental meetings
	that the overall range of	in the education	stated in the P-3 handbook that	
Assessment 2:	average grades for all	major and the	is distributed to candidates upon	
Letter Grades	education courses is between	requirement of a C	their entrance into the program	
	3.36 (B +) and 4.0 (A).	or better in	and again each Fall, faculty have	
	!	education courses	been reminded to reinforce this	
	In Spring 2011, given the	continues to be	policy during advisement	
	option to retake education	enforced.	sessions and as websites and	
	courses in which they		literature about the program is	
	earned a grade of C minus or		updated.	
	lower, 3 candidates elected			
	to retake these education			
	courses and therefore, chose			
	to remain in the program.			

	One candidate was removed from the program because her overall GPA in education was well below 3.0.			
Assessment 3 Lesson Plan			Faculty from the program at MCCC and Faculty from the Wayne campus plan to test the scoring reliability of all critical assessments in the early childhood program.	Early childhood program meetings
Assessment 5 Teacher Work Sample	The 2008-2009 data indicated that meeting NAEYC standard 3 (Observing, Documenting and Assessing) was a continual struggle for candidates. The data indicated that many candidate need additional support and practice in utilizing student assessment data and in developing and adapting assessment tools to meet the needs of all children.	Faculty teaching CIEE 322 and CIEC 330 continue to meet to discuss all aspects of the TWS.	Recently proposed changes to the ways that candidates will design and collect assessment data should resolve some of the difficulties found to be associated with the younger grades. Faculty will continue to include lessons on assessment. In particular, support in the design of rubrics on performance tasks will be included. One of the new changes proposed by faculty in their review of the TWS assignment is to require all candidates to include one rubric in their assessment design.	Department meetings Faculty meetings about TWS

			program at MCCC and Faculty from the Wayne campus plan to test the scoring reliability of all critical assessments in the early childhood program.	
Assessment 6	All candidates must score in the Acceptable to Target levels	The portfolio rubric has been	The Portfolio review committee will use the newly designed	With candidates-Portfolio review night for candidates
Portfolios	in order meet the requirements of this critical assessment. In Fall 2009 and Spring 2010, 100 % of candidates achieved proficiency in all assessed rubrics.	redesigned to include the new (2010) NAEYC standards.	rubric for the first time in November 2011. Newly appointed faculty and adjuncts who teach early childhood courses have been invited to join the committee.	and at the final seminar class meeting With faculty-Portfolio review committee meeting