Changes Based on Data

PROGRAM: M. Ed in Curriculum & Learning (Concentration: EARLY CHILDHOOD)

Name of	Results/ Data	Changes Made to	Changes Planned to	How data is shared with faculty,
Assessment		Date	Date	candidates, and professional
				community
Assessment 1:	Results are aggregated for	Each semester, Dr.	Faculty review new	Drs. Strasser & Seplocha co-teach the
Performance on	the entire M.Ed. program (all	Seplocha & Dr. Strasser,	textbooks continuously	Research I and II classes (ELCL629 &
first 2 chapters	concentrations) and changes	who teach the research	and update and revise the	630). They regularly discuss students'
of thesis in	are discussed elsewhere with	classes, revise the Sample	course each semester	progress throughout both semesters.
ELCL629	regard to the entire program.	Style Thesis Guide	based with regard to new	Data are shared with candidates as they
		(attached) that is given to	APA revisions, new	do oral presentations of chapters 1, 2,
		students in ELCL629 to	research in EC, and	& 3 at the last class session of
		reflect changes in APA,	students' research projects.	ELCL629. During poster sessions in
		tips on format & style, etc.	Students in ELCL629 fill	December and May, the professional
		Faculty work one-to-one	out a form each semester	community is invited to see
		with candidates, in	indicating strengths and	candidates' research projects.
		addition to classwork, to	challenges of writing	Candidates are encouraged to share
		scaffold the thesis	chapters 1-3. Based on	their projects at professional
		projects.	these data, additional	conferences and in their early
		As of January 2011,	changes are made.	childhood school settings. They are
		exemplary theses have		also encouraged to write journal
		been published by		articles for <i>Young Children</i> and other
		candidates in Proquest.		publications. Drs. Strasser & Seplocha
				are consulting editors for that
A a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a	Detroise and 2000 and 5 11	Fourth continues 1-	We true to offer in the	publication.
Assessment 2: GPA for	Between spring 2008 and fall	Faculty continuously	We try to offer new,	Faculty who teach the required courses
	2010 GPA's ranged from 3.6 to 4.0 Most were above 3.7.	update their courses based	experimental electives	meet annually to discuss their syllabi,
CIEC601,		on changes in the field and	based on timely topics to	textbooks, rubrics, and focus of their
CIEC602, CIEC611,	The grade given most often in each of the required	NAEYC guidelines. However, students always	complement the required courses. For example, this	courses. They also confer regularly online, and informally, on campus.
CIEC611, CIEC634,	courses is "A." Faculty all	do well in written work	summer and last summer	omme, and informally, on campus.
CIEC634, CIEC635	use rubrics for assignments	attendance, and class	we offered an elective on	
CIECOSS	<u>o</u>			
	and grades.	participation, in these required courses.	Reggio Emilia philosophy.	
		required courses.		

A	001 0 1	T 1, 1	XX/1 C 1, 1	D : 1 1 : 1 FC C 1:
Assessment 3:	Of the 8 elements assessed,	Faculty members meet to	When faculty members	Data are shared at the EC faculty
Rubric for	more than 50% of candidates	discuss how to support	meet in May 2011, we will	meetings, annually.
Lesson Plan	receive Target on	candidates' writing and	discuss how to improve	
	Content/Strategies,	implementation of lesson	candidates' performance	
	Motivation, Factual Content,	plans when they meet	in writing Objectives and	
	Bloom's Taxonomy,	annually. Then, those who	Essential Questions in	
	Performance Assessment,	teach the Curriculum	their lesson plans.	
	and Assessment Rubric for	course, update their course		
	the last 3 semesters of	syllabi.		
	assessment data collection.			
	During 1 semester, 40% of			
	candidates received Target in			
	Integration of Subject Matter			
	(although 100% & 83% of			
	candidates received Target			
	the other 2 semesters).			
	Objective and Essential			
	Questions are the areas			
	where candidates most often			
	receive Acceptable ratings.			
	In these areas, they received			
	Target ratings 33%, 66% and			
	40% during the 3 semesters			
	of data collected. No			
	students receive			
	Unacceptable.			
Assessment 4:	Between fall '07 and fall '10,	There have been no	There are no changes	Data are shared at the EC faculty
Rubric for Case	each year between 86% &	changes. Candidates	planned.	meetings, annually. Candidates often
Study of Child	100% of candidates rated	choose a case study child	piamea.	share these projects in class with their
with Special	Target on this assignment.	based on their teaching		peers. They are required to do 2 oral
Needs	Only 1 candidate was rated	setting, interests, and		presentations during the semester.
(CIEC634)	Unacceptable. Candidates			presentations during the semester.
(CIEC034)	•	needs. The assignment has a great deal of flexibility		
	have the option of presenting	, ,		
	these projects to their peers	to accommodate each		
	in an oral presentation, in	candidate. They complete		
	addition to their written	a written paper and oral		

	paper.	presentation and can work collaboratively with other candidates in the class.		
Assessment 5: Performance on Chapters 3, 4, & 5 of thesis in ELCL630	Results are aggregated for the entire M.Ed. program (all concentrations) and changes are discussed elsewhere with regard to the entire program.	Each semester, Dr. Seplocha & Dr. Strasser, who teach the research classes, revise the Sample Style Thesis Guide (attached) that is given to students in ELCL630 to reflect changes in APA, tips on format & style, etc. Faculty work one-to-one with candidates, in addition to classwork, to scaffold the thesis projects. As of January 2011, exemplary theses have been published in Proquest.	Faculty review new textbooks continuously and update and revise the course each semester based with regard to new APA revisions, new research in EC, and students' research projects. Students in ELCL630 fill out a form each semester indicating strengths and challenges of writing chapters 3, 4, &5. Based on these data, additional changes are made.	Drs. Strasser & Seplocha co-teach the Research I and II classes (ELCL629 & 630). They regularly discuss students' progress throughout both semesters. Data are shared with candidates as they do oral presentations of chapters 1-5 at the last class session of ELCL630. During poster sessions in December and May, the professional community is invited to see candidates' research projects. Candidates are encouraged to share their projects at professional conferences and in their early childhood school settings. They are also encouraged to write journal articles for <i>Young Children</i> and other publications. Drs. Strasser & Seplocha are consulting editors for that publication.
Assessment 6: Rubric for Advocacy Project (CIEC634)	More than 60% of candidates receive Target on the project during the semesters when data were collected. No one has received Unacceptable. Data show that these projects are always relevant, well documented, and well implemented.	There have been no changes. This project was begun several years ago when NAEYC highlighted the importance of advocacy for teachers. Candidates choose a project based on their interests and the needs of their school community.	This project continues to be exciting for candidates. In the past, some have received grants, implemented changes in policy in their districts, made valuable contributions to their community, etc. They have planted gardens, supported healthy eating,	Because of the nature of most of these projects, they are inherently shared with candidates' school communities. They are also shared with faculty at meetings and can be shared with the broader community in COE newsletters. Candidates often share these projects in class with their peers. They are required to do 2 oral presentations during the semester.

			contacted pediatricians about the importance of various screenings, begun programs for the homeless, etc.	
Assessment 7: Rubric for Multicultural/ Diversity Project (CIEC634)	Between 83% & 97% of candidates have received Target each semester that data have been collected. Content and format of the written papers mostly fall into the Target range. No one has received Unacceptable. Candidates have the option of presenting these projects to their peers in an oral presentation, in addition to their written paper.	There have been no changes to this assignment. Candidates choose a children's book with multicultural focus and plan and implement various activities using the book, based on a topic/theme. However, each semester, as new children's books are published, different books are used by the candidates. The project is a culminating activity after several weeks are spent in the course learning about multiculturalism and early childhood.	There are no changes planned.	Candidates often share these projects in class with their peers. They are required to do 2 oral presentations during the semester.
Assessment 8: Rubric for M.Ed. EC Portfolio	At least 50% of candidates rated Target on the overall portfolio in the semesters that data have been collected. The lowest scores occur in the math/science entry, although between 67% and 75% of candidates score Target in this area each semester. No one has scored Unacceptable in any component of the portfolio.	There is an M.Ed. EC Portfolio Handbook that each candidate receives at a special portfolio seminar given every semester. Candidates are sent a letter and invited to the seminar. Changes are made to the Handbook every year to reflect the changes in the field and in NAEYC Standards for Advanced	Changes will continue to be made as necessary as changes in NAEYC policy and in the EC field are made.	A model portfolio has been put online (Elizabeth Morgado, spring 2010 graduate). Candidates often share their portfolios with their school supervisors. They share them at the last class session of ELCL630 (Research II) if they wish to. In addition, candidates use these at professional interviews. They have the option of sharing them during poster sessions in December and May, when the professional community is invited

However, candidates submit	Programs.	to see candidates' research projects.
each component of the		
portfolio separately during a		
prearranged timeline		
between the beginning of		
Rersearch I (ELCL629 and		
the end of Research II		
(ELCL630). The various		
components are scored		
separately and candidates		
revise and resubmit if their		
entry is not acceptable.		