- William Paterson University FACULTY SENATE MINUTES –February 14, 2012 FACULTY SENATE WEB PAGE http://www.wpunj.edu/senate --
- 4 **PRESENT:** Aktan, Andreopoulos, Barrow, Bernstein, Bhat, Garfinkel (for Boroznoff), Cruz Paul, Chung,
- 5 D'Haem, Diamond, Dinan, Duffy, Ellis, Falk-Romaine, Ferris, Finnegan, Gardner, Gazzillo Diaz, Godar,
- 6 Kearney, Kelly, Kim, Levitan, McNeal, Mbogoni (for Lindsey), Ndjatou, Nyamwange, Parras, Pavese, Perez,
- 7 Quicke, Rosar, Scala, Schwartz, Sheffield, Snyder, Steinhart, Swanson, Tardi, Verdicchio, Walsh, Weil,
- 8 Weisberg, Wagner, Wicke, Wong
- 9

1

2 3

- 10 **GUESTS:** Bolyai, Chabayta, Cohen, Fengya, Flint, Fuller-Stanley, Furst, Genco, Goldstein, Hahn, Kashyep,
- 11 Korgen, Liautaud, Martone, Malu, Miller, Nauta, Olaye, Park, Rabbitt, Refsland, Rosengart, Seal, Tiernan
- 12 The Senate was called to order at 12:34 PM.

13 Hahn asked that the Communication Proposal be withdrawn from the agenda. Quicke moved to amend

14 the agenda and Ferris seconded. Adoption of the Agenda was moved by Duffy and seconded by Godar.

15 I. ANNOUNCEMENT

- 16 Cruz Paul announced that on March 2nd, the Career Development Center along with Enterprise Rent-A-Car will
- 17 be hosting, WOMEN LEADERS: WE MEAN BUSINESS, a mini-conference focusing on increasing
- 18 assertiveness in our female students. Please see <u>http://cms.wpunj.edu/career-</u>
- 19 <u>advisement/eventsworkshops/women-in-business.dot</u> for more information.
- 20 Scala announced that on March 2nd the Department of Women's and Gender Studies will have their Second
- 21 Annual Women's History Month Conference with featured speaker: Roberta Francis, former director of the NJ
- 22 Division on Women. This is being sponsored by the WPU Women's and Gender Studies Department, Future
- 23 Knowledge Center for Women. If you are interested in bringing your class or registering for the conference,
- 24 please call 973-684-4700.
- 25 Parras noted that it is Valentine's day and the lack of a contract for faculty and professional staff is casting a
- long shadow over the campus. He noted that there is an emergency Union meeting next Tuesday, February 21,
- 27 2012 and encouraged all Senators to attend.

28 II. CHAIR'S REPORT:

- 29 Parras discussed how the Senate needs to "position" themselves to be in a good position when the Strategic Plan
- 30 is approved and therefore should have Senate representation on different Strategic Implementation Teams.
- 31 Verdicchio will be representing the Senate on Team Four. Parras went on to thank Verdicchio on behalf of the
- 32 Senate.
- 33 Parras noted that the Strategic Plan was approved by the Steering Committee a week ago Monday although the
- final one has not come out yet. He said the final one should be out "any day now" and then the Board of
- 35 Trustees is arranging a special meeting to discuss and vote on the plan.
- 36 Tardi asked if the Senate would be taking a vote on the plan.

37 Kelly moved that the Senate consider and vote on the plan and Tardi seconded. Discussion ensued.

- 38 Parras recommended that this be done at the February 28^{th} meeting.
- 39 Ferris recommended that the plan be considered and voted on in parts.
- 40 Parras noted that if someone wants to divide it into parts this could be done.

- 41 Levitan asked if the Strategic Plan would be made available to the Community by February 28th.
- 42 Parras noted that it should be.
- 43 McNeal asked Parras to ask the Provost this question.
- 44 Parras asked Weil if the Plan would be circulated by then.
- 45 Weil noted that he did not have this information.
- 46 Parras put the vote for the motion to consider and vote on the Strategic Plan and it passed unanimously.
- 47 Parras said that he thinks the Senate made the "right choice" and went on to discuss that the Strategic Plan
- 48 Committee voted on the plan and the vote was unanimous with one abstention and he was the abstention
- 49 because he did not know how the Senate would vote.
- 50 Parras then went on to speak about the Administrative Evaluations. Parras said that "a mistake was made and I
- 51 made it." Parras explained that he did not give the Ad Hoc Committee the resources that they needed and that
- 52 the participants in the survey were able to vote more than once. Therefore, the Zoomerang system does not suit
- 53 our needs. It was necessary that the survey system had a secure URL that could not be tracked that the
- respondent could not be tracked, and that responders could not respond multiple times. Unfortunately, Parras
- noted, Zoomerang could not do these things simultaneously. This was incorrect marketing on Zoomerang's
- 56 part. Therefore the Committee is looking for another survey system. At this time, they are looking at Simply
- 57 Voting if that system serves our purpose then April 9^{th} is when the Ad Hoc will still get the reports to the
- 58 Senate. Parras explained that it looks like the evaluations will still be done this semester but he will not know
- 59 until the end of this week if they will be done using Simply Voting.
- 60 Andreopoulos asked if an email update could be sent to the Senate.
- 61 Parras responded yes and thanked the Committee as well as Sanford and Hong for their help.
- 62 Kim asked if the evaluations would still be done on time.
- 63 Parras noted that they will know by the end of the week and his certainty is about 70%.
- 64 Parras then went on to note that the Governance Council for Executive Sessions was withdrawn from the
- agenda last week and that is the end of that item.
- 66 Gazzillo Diaz asked for someone from the Governance Council to explain why it was withdrawn.
- Malu noted that the Council withdrew the Resolution because of redundancy of the Constitution with Robert'sRules and then referred the matter to Kearney.
- 69 Kearney went on to explain the problem rests with the Constitution's statement that the Constitution defines ex-
- 70 officio non-voting members as members of the Senate. And, the standard language in Robert's Rules for
- 71 Executive Sessions (page 93) is "Only members....are allowed to remain in the hall," so therefore this would not
- be sufficient to exclude ex-officio non-voting members from an Executive Session. Since the Amendment to
- the bylaws the Governance Council was proposing would introduce an inconsistency that could be resolved by
- appeal to the Constitution, simple put, the resolution could have easily been regarded as "unconstitutional."
- 75 Weisburg discussed how Robert's Rules are used to support the running of the Senate body not hamper it and
- the Constitution and Bylaws may not conflict, therefore the Constitution can be changed as well as the Bylaws.

- 77 Tardi pointed out that the issue of Closed Sessions of the Senate has been discussed for four years and she is
- concerned about continuing to postpone this issue. One previous Chairperson refused to put the issue on the
- 79 Senate floor, last year's Governance Council had it as a charge that it did not address, and this year's
- 80 Governance Council has had sufficient time but has not addressed it. She noted that Administrative Evaluations
- 81 are going to be discussed in the Senate soon and asked if it is possible to form a Senator Only Ad Hoc
- 82 Committee for Closed Session.
- 83 Kearney stated "yes."

84 Tardi motioned to form a Voting Senator-Only Standing Committee for Closed sessions (one that

85 parallels what the Board of Trustees does – to have a portion of our regular Senate meetings be closed)

- 86 and Sheffield seconded.
- 87 Kelly discussed how she supports the intention of the motion but said she thinks we need to pause and suggests
- a dillema. She noted that the Governance Council is on the "pathway" and they need to get this done that

89 Robert's Rules can be amended and that universities around the country have closed sessions and she sees no

- reason why this must be a belabored effort this can be done in "10 minutes." She affirmed that is indeed a
- 91 longstanding goal of ours and she noted that we should not rely on our Parliamentarian's interpretation, that we
- 92 as Senators should know Robert's Rules, that it is our obligation.
- 93 Parras reminded Kelly to speak to the motion.
- 94 Steinhart discussed that he was agreeable to the purpose of the motion but did not understand when it would be
- 95 created, charged, when it would meet, and he noted that the motion does not address these points so he therefore
- 96 has an argument against it per se.
- 97 Weisburg argued for the creation of this Committee immediately, since the Governance Council did not do the
- 98 will of the Senate and that is what they are charged to do. He noted the proper thing to do is to find a way to do

the will of the Senate body and parliamentary procedures should not get in the way of doing the will of the

- 100 body. This motion is able to implement the will of the body. He therefore supported it.
- 101 Andreopoulos pointed out that this motion speaks to resolution.
- 102 Pavese discussed how going into closed sessions is a way to avoid embarrassment to the administration as well
- 103 as a way to deal with urgent issues. He noted that this has been going on for ages and he supports the formation
- 104 of the Committee. He noted that in the mean time, after this passes, the Constitution can be amended.
- Ferris noted that there is not a clear time frame for this Committee and she wondered how a Committee couldbe called at need.
- 107 Tardi motioned a point of order with the Parliamentarian to clarify if changing the Constitution has to go before108 the Board of Trustees.
- 109 Kearney affirmed that it does.
- 110 Tardi noted that the Committee will be called in sensitive situations and that maybe the Executive Committee 111 can define the parameters more clearly.
- Ellis motioned to call the question and Gardner Seconded. Motion to call the question passed with 22
 yes, 13 no and 3 abstentions.
- 114 Motion to Form a Voting Senator-Only Standing Committee for Closed sessions passed with 31 yes 9 no 115 and 1 abstention.

- Kelly recommended that the Executive Committee clarify the charges and present them at the next Senatemeeting.
- 118 The Draft Minutes of the January 24, 2012 meeting were moved to be accepted by and seconded by
- 119 Levitan and Dinan. The minutes were approved unanimously.
- 120 The Draft Minutes of the January 31, 2012 meeting were moved to be accepted by Scala and seconded by
- 121 Snyder. Perez asked for line 62 to be amended and Barrow asked for lines 214 216 to be amended.
- 122 III. VICE-CHAIR'S REPORT:

Falk Romaine motioned for Cinzia Richardson to fill the professional staff position in the Area Four
Review Panel and Tardi seconded and the motion passed unanimously.

125 IV. Sociology Department Change: See http://www.wpunj.edu/dotAsset/350936.pdf

126 Dobrick motioned that the Senate approve the changes to the Sociology Program and Bhat seconded.

- 127 Levitan discussed that she had spoken to Korgen and clarified that the Sociology Department was not taking out
- 128 the quantifying course. She also noted that there seemed to be inconsistencies between what was coming to the
- 129 Senate and what is coming to the UCC review panels.
- 130 Parras responded by noting that programs changes come to the Senate.
- 131 Levitan noted that she did not think that this always happens.
- 132 Gazzillo Diaz had a question not about the proposal but about the format. She questioned why there was not a
- 133 proposal for each individual program change, for example, one for the Sociology Program and one for the
- minor. She questioned why it was all put on one form instead of on a different program form for each changeof program.
- 136 Korgen noted that this has been the normal procedure and she was told to do this by the Interim Associate Dean.
- Gazzillo Diaz spoke about how the Senate needs to make procedures across Colleges standardized noting thatthis paperwork would have been thrown back in her College.
- 139 Falk Romaine motioned that this be rejected and the programs be separated.
- 140 McNeal supported and seconded Falk Romaine's motion.
- 141 Godar motioned to divide the question into three different parts.
- 142 Tardi noted that if that has been the pattern for Humanities and Social Sciences then it would be unfair for the
- 143 Senate to reject Sociologies modifications. She requested that this be examined for a change across the colleges 144 in the future.
- 145 Parras asked if there was any debate on dividing the question. No debate ensued and the motion was lost.
- Rabbitt spoke to the procedure and noted that the programs were written up together because they are relatedand therefore they have related issues.
- Parras noted that while they may be related, all Senate Department Representatives do not know each other'sdepartment details.
- Ferris spoke to supporting what Tardi said noting that these issues were not raised to the Departmentbeforehand.
- 152 Kelly called the question and Weisburg seconded and the proposal passed.
- 153 Parras then noted that he spoke to the undergraduate and graduate councils about standardization procedures.

154 V. Proposed University Dashboard Indicators 2011-2012 – See:

155 http://www.wpunj.edu/dotAsset/352399.pdf

- Parras began by explaining that the President could not make the Senate meeting but did send an apology andshe did assure Parras that the Provost and others could help.
- 158 Weil went over the history of the Dashboard indicators noting that they were developed and used by the Board
- 159 of Trustees now for several years. They were developed in discussion with the Board and were meant to
- 160 provide information to the Board. At this time the development and discussion of them parallels that of the
- 161 Strategic Plan. Some of the Indicators, he discussed, are metrics for the Strategic Plan and will be a statement
- 162 for institutional effectiveness. As the Plan continues, these target Indicators will continue to be discussed.
- 163 Finnegan remarked that is it not clear to him who actually proposes these indicators to the Board.
- 164 Parras noted that the Cabinet presents these.
- 165 Finnegan then discussed the importance of faculty for successfully achieving target goals for the Dashboard
- 166 Indicators. He pointed to the 4-year graduation rate. For example, if we want it to go up to 20%, faculty need
- to be doing this, so why aren't faculty asked what they think is possible? He noted that faculty are the most
- 168 important element. He asked where faculty weigh in for these Dashboard Indicators particularly when the
- 169 Strategic Plan implementation is taking place simultaneously.
- Gardner pointed to the old Dashboard Indicator and the fact that it seems that the student-faculty ratio is thesame as in the new.
- 172 Weil stated that no, it is different and that this indicator is still under discussion. He explained that the indicator
- 172 wen stated that no, it is different and that this indicator is still under discussion. He explained that the indicator 173 measures full-time equivalent faculty to full-time equivalent students which is a different way of measuring this 174 indicator.
- Scala questioned why the indicator about faculty ethnicity and gender diversity was removed. Is there athinking that we are living in a post-racist / sexist / ethnocentric time?
- Weil answered Scala by noting that the numbers have changed and the Board prefers an annual report on
 diversity, a different format for reporting, rather than have it a dashboard indicator.
- 179 Kelly supported Scala in noting that there is an absence in diversity on the Dashboard Indicators. She also
- 180 noted that there is an absence of SLOs, which are consistent with our core values. Kelly also discussed
- 181 Indicator number 20 and noted that she does not understand this. She went on to further point out that we are
- 182 only being compared to 5 institutions in number 22 not all our sister institutions and we are actually quite high
- in tuition. Kelly then discussed NESSE and asked why Community Engagement is not highlighted in the
- 184 Dashboard indicators as we are the only public institution in NJ focusing on this.
- 185 Parras noted that this discussion of this would probably continue into the next session under Old Business.
- 186 Perez discussed how a context for the indicators is needed and how we must have external institutions to
- 187 compare ourselves to. He reminded the Senate that Montclair State has external institutions to compare their188 growth to and emphasized that we must have the same.
- growth to and emphasized that we must have the same.
- 189 Parras said that we will have them in the near future.
- Weil explained that these are not the only Dashboard Indicators which will be used. These are just a set andothers will go forward.
- ADJOURNMENT: The Faculty Senate adjourned at 1:49. The next meeting of the Faculty Senate will be held
 on Tuesday, February 28 at 12:30pm in University Commons Ballroom C.
- 194 Respectfully submitted: K. McNeal
- 195 THIS AND OTHER SENATE DOCUMENTS ARE AVAILABLE ONLINE AT: <u>www.wpunj.edu/senate</u>