1 2	William Paterson University – FACULTY SENATE MINUTES –October 11, 2011 FACULTY SENATE WEB PAGE http://www.wpunj.edu/senate
3	
4 5	<b>PRESENT:</b> Andreopoulos, Barrow, Bernstein, Bhat, Chung, Cruz Paul, Davis, D'Haem, Diamond, Dinan, Duffy, Ellis, Falk-Romaine, Ferris, Finnegan, Gardner, Gazzillo Diaz, Godar, Harris, Kearney,
6	Kelly, Kim, Levitan, Lindsey, Mathew, McNeal, Ndjatou, Owasu (for Nyamwange), Parras, Pavese,
7 8	Perez, Quicke, Rabbitt, Rady, Rosar, Scala, Schwartz, Sheffield, Snyder, Tardi, Verdicchio, Wagner, Waldron, Walsh, Watson, Weil, Wong
9	A DOVENIE DE COURT LE GUILLE G
10	ABSENT: Boroznoff, Healy, Steinhart, Swanson, Weisberg
11 12	GUESTS: Basu, Bliss, Bogden, Bolyai, Burns, Chabayta, Ciliberti, De Young, Dunlap, Fanning,
13	Ferguson, Goldstein, Hahn, Jemmott, Kashyap, Liautaud, Martone, Malu, Mato, Miller, Mongillo,
14	Olaye, Refsland, Rosengart, Seal, Tiernan, Trelisky
15	ANNOUNCEMENTS
16	The Senate was called to order at 12:36 PM.
17	1. Quicke announced that National College Radio Day was launched yesterday and today is the first
18	ever National College Radio Day. WPU is headquarters and leading 351 other colleges in the USA
19	who are joining to celebrate today. Governor Christie issued a proclamation declaring today as College
20 21	Radio Day. WPU is featured in <i>The Daily News</i> , <i>The Star Ledger</i> , and <i>USA Today</i> and Quicke is being interviewed by the BBC.
22	2. Parras announced that Ravitch is presenting at WPU's Distinguished Lecturer Series Tonight. She
23	is one of the nation's leading authorities on American education history and policy, and will discuss
24	issues related to test-based accountability and school choice. Tickets are free for students and \$5 for
25	faculty and staff. Kelly encouraged faculty to go, as she is an outspoken critic on high-stakes testing
26	despite being once an outspoken proponent of them; Kelly described Ravitch's most recent publication
27	as a mea culpa to Ravitch's past stance.
28	
29	3. Scala noted that today, October 11 <sup>th</sup> , is National Coming Out Day so to please be aware of this.
30	October is also Breast Cancer Awareness Month as well as Domestic Violence Awareness Month.

- Purple ribbons have been put around the campus reminding the campus community that it is Domestic 31
- Violence Awareness month. Please make students aware of the significance of these ribbons. 32
- 4. Major / Minors day is next Thursday, October  $20^{th}$  from 12:00-2:00 PM in the Atrium Lobby. 33
- Acceptance of the Agenda was moved by Quicke, seconded by Godar, and approved. 34

- 35 The Draft Minutes of the September 27, 2011 meeting were moved to be accepted by Perez
- seconded by Wagner approved with lines 162- 163 amended as follows: Levitan incorrectly
- 37 stated the amount of time UCC courses must be offered and wishes to make the following
- 38 corrections:
- 39 Levitan noted that there is no set number but they want to have some cycle of regularity and
- 40 that for a course to be approved in Areas 1, 2, 3 it must be offered at a minimum of once/year
- but a course in areas 4, 5, 6 must be offered at minimum once/ 2 years.

## 42 CHAIR'S REPORT:

- 43 All three faculty candidates, Aktan, Dean, and O'Broin were accepted onto the University
- 44 Commencement Speaker and Honorary Degree Committee.
- 45 Technology Council Charge: Electronic Privacy Policy Review
- Parras began by presenting that there is a new charge for the Technology Council, to look into the
- 47 privacy policy of the University with regard to electronic communication. He and the Executive
- 48 Committee have decided that the best way to proceed with this is to give the Technology Council
- readings, two in particular: an AAUP reading, particularly Section 9 and the second document is
- 50 WPU's current policy, the most relevant section being Section 1.1 Privacy of Electronic
- 51 Communication. The Council can also do other research, as needed.
- 52 Harris asked who else is on the Commencement Speaker Committee.
- Parras responded by saying he would get this information from Seal and send it out to the whole
- 54 Senate.

## 55 VICE-CHAIR'R REPORT:

- Falk-Romaine moved to nominate Arlene Scala (Department of Women's and Gender Studies),
- 57 Donna Perry (English) and Carole Sheffield (Political Science) to the Promotion Committee in
- 58 the Department of Women's and Gender Studies, Godar Seconded and the motion was
- 59 approved unanimously.
- There are 2 current openings on Councils: UCC Humanities and Social Sciences (replacement for
- 61 Isabel Tirado) and Admissions and Enrollment Council College of Business (replacement for Cesar
- 62 Perez).
- There is also a UCC Review Panel Seat Available for Area 2 -Expression.
- Two review panel seats in area 4, Diversity and Justice, were moved by Falk-Romaine to be
- 65 **filled by Flint and Baumgartner and seconded by Scala.** Discussion ensued.

- Sheffield asked if a professional staff member was needed to serve on the Diversity and Justice Panel,
- 67 not just faculty members.
- 68 Falk-Romaine noted that she would double check.
- 69 Godar asked about past-practice with regard to having two faculty from the same department on one
- 70 panel.

77

80

83

86

88

93

94

95 96

99 100

104

- 71 Falk-Romaine noted the diligence that goes into selecting council and panel members and how neither
- 72 Flint nor Baumgartner serve on other councils or panels.
- 73 Duffy suggested postponing the vote and withdrawing the motion.
- 74 Falk-Romaine withdrew the motion.
- **OLD BUSINESS:**
- 76 Formation of Ad Hoc Committee for Administrative Evaluations
- Parras reviewed charges (http://www.wpunj.edu/dotAsset/311444.pdf), and stressed that the evaluations should be thorough and meaningful.
- Finnegan moved to form the Ad Hoc Committee for Administrative Evaluations and Wagner Seconded.
- Finnegan stressed that before the Committee proceeds the Senate will need to review the timeline, their charges, and when the Senate will see the final report.
- Parras agreed that the Ad Hoc Committee will need to develop the timeline before they proceed.
- Kelly asked if they meant that there should be a "no later than due date."
- 91 Finnegan clarified this with a "yes." 92
  - Tardi moved that the Executive Committee be presented with the process and given the preliminary report and then once that is done the Executive Committee should bring it to the Senate floor to avoid what happened last year. Ellis seconded this motion.
- Parras noted that the fourth point in the charges should be changed to read "Report to Full Senate on above before proceeding by the end of November."
- Finnegan moved that the sixth point be changed to "write report and submit to the Executive Committee and Full Senate at least one month prior to the last Senate meeting." Snyder seconded.
- 105 Kelly asked if we had Senate dates and noted that we had "entangled" the Executive Committee and

the Senate. 106 107 Tardi suggested that the timeframe be such that the final report is submitted to the full Senate by the 108 second to last Senate meeting so it is imperative that the Executive Committee has the report to review 109 beforehand. 110 111 Falk-Romaine suggested April 10<sup>th</sup> as a date for the full Senate to discuss administrative evaluations. 112 113 Andreopoulos wanted to note that the survey is too long and repetitive and asks that the Ad Hoc 114 Committee look at ways to simplify the procedure; the questionnaire is too complicated. 115 116 Godar had a question in general, are we evaluating the administrator with these evaluations or their 117 performance? 118 119 Parras noted that the Ad Hoc Committee will look at minutes as well as other pertinent information 120 121 and try to judge performance and not other things. 122 Finnegan asked the Governance Council to resolve whether the Senate can have closed sessions. 123 124 Parras noted he hopes to do so. 125 126 127 Tardi discussed how it is important for the upcoming members of the Ad Hoc Committee to speak to people who designed the questionnaire in order to fully understand why each question was formulated. 128 She noted that there are a number of ways to measure performance and there must be a sufficient 129 number of questions to measure performance since this report is being presented to the Board of 130 Trustees. 131 132 133 Kelly stressed the importance of having clear job descriptions noted, as it does not seem meaningful to evaluate the administrators without this; it is important to evaluate peoples' performance in their 134 135 capacity. 136 137 Kim discussed the response rate and the context of how this response rate is reported. Last year there 138 was only a 10% response rate, this is low. But the data must be looked at in terms of the response rate. Who is responding? For example, there are 1176 faculty and staff who are sent this survey but who 139 are they? There are only 375 full time faculty. Who are these 801 other people and do they know 140 these administrators well enough to evaluate them? We need to get a breakdown of who these people 141 are. Many of them are adjuncts and they may not know who the administrators are and therefore that 142 may be why they are ignoring this survey so this response rate, while low, has other factors to 143 consider. 144 145 Cruz Paul noted that while she was not on the Senate last year perhaps a different set of sampling 146

should be considered whereby two surveys are given, one to people who directly report to that administrator and another if they do not.

148 149

147

Tardi noted that there is an option on the survey if the respondent has no opinion / no knowledge about 150 the question / administrator. 151

Parras put the motion to form the Ad Hoc Committee on Administrative Evaluations to a vote 152 153 and it passed unanimously. 154 Kelly moved to nominate Cruz Paul to the Committee and Gazillo Diaz moved to nominate McNeal to 155 the Committee. 156 157 Levitan questioned the makeup of the Committee. 158 159 Parras noted that the Senate is taking nominations now and will vote on them next time. 160 161 162 Tardi pointed out that she believed that the constituency of the Committee should be decided upon before nominations are made. 163 164 165 Ferris spoke about past precedent and having a statistician on the Committee and then questioned whether the Committee would include just Senators or whether it would be open to the university at 166 167 large. 168 Parras noted that it would be open to the University at large. 169 170 Kelly withdrew her nomination. 171 172 173 Parras withdrew all nominations and noted that the Executive Committee would put out a call for Committee Members. 174 175 Discussion of Framework of the University's Strategic Plan, 2012-22 176 Parras opened this final discussion on the framework by noting that the President was not able to make 177 this meeting. He also discussed that while this is just a framework, we may see an Interim Framework 178 Draft in November / December. We can review that, if it is released, at the January 24<sup>th</sup> meeting, if the 179 Executive Committee and Senate agree. 180 Pavese noted that this framework is helping us focus in a positive direction. With regard to the 181 students, Pavese discussed how we say they are working class students but we need to look at how this 182 affects the students, and he is concerned about the lack of creative arts mentioned in the strategic 183 framework. If students are to be upwardly mobile they need to see outside of the box, they need to be 184 185 creative, need to see beyond data, they need the arts. Furthermore, adjuncts need to feel able to work up the ladder of success and this needs to be addressed in the plan, there needs to be some focus and 186 187 desire to develop the adjunct faculty. Falk Romaine pointed to page five, number IA and the descriptors that point to workplace demands. 188 Liberal education is lost and Falk Romaine sees this framework moving us towards a more 189 professional institution as opposed to our roots, an expansive education. The arts in general are not 190 mentioned nor are literature / history. "Exceptional" in this context seems to mean those that they can 191

- increase enrollment. Falk Romaine encouraged us to look further at 1A, to look beyond enrollment
- and finances.
- 194 Gardner discussed how the framework lacks specificity and commitment. For instance, on page 9 it
- refers to establishing opportunities beyond a classroom. Gardner feels that this is a noble idea but
- 196 knows that this is not cheap. This requires a lot of faculty time and a large financial commitment and
- she asked whether the framework is just proposing this or whether we are actually going to do this,
- otherwise, why say it. WPU would need, for example, a central internship coordinator and an
- internship coordinator in each department.
- 200 Parras agreed and noted that he hopes to see more specifics.
- Barrow pointed out that at Northwestern they are noted for their internship program and perhaps WPU
- should look at their model for internships and thoroughly consider the costs of internship programs
- and noted that if we modeled our program like that of Northwestern it certainly would distinguish us.
- Dinan discussed the hopes that more language will be incorporated to have student-centeredness in the
- 205 plan.
- Scala brought up the motion from the last meeting, where the Senate voted to have an AFT
- representative on the Strategic Planning Committee and asked if there had been a response.
- 208 Parras responded that there has been no response but that this meeting has not been closed, the
- framework is still being discussed and when we close this then the minutes will become an official
- 210 part of the Strategic Planning Framework Website.
- Watson announced that the Student Government Association (SGA) will be hosting a Town Hall
- Meeting tonight to discuss the framework and he thought that it was important that faculty hear what
- students have to say. It will be held tonight from 4:00 6:00 PM.
- Kelly felt that it was important to repeat her comments from previous Senate meetings and Town hall
- 215 meetings about how the priority in planning rest in the mission statement and aligning the plan with
- 216 this and that there is a reason why the core values and mission statement have yet to be filled in.
- Several departments have begun to do this individually. Kelly encouraged everyone to do this, that as
- a faculty we need to be proactive in doing this, to create a place holding mission statement, which may
- 219 change dramatically. Kelly discussed a vision, which incorporated ethical, scientific, and cultural
- values and then asked if it would be more amenable to the "creative expression side of the house" if
- "creative" was added and noted that money will not be allocated unless it is in the vision.
- Finnegan discussed how the Department of History would be sending their written comments about
- the Framework to the Senate. He also noted his personal concern, which is that there will be a draft of
- the plan in December, then there is a break and the Strategic Plan will be presented in January. This
- does not give time for giving systematic feedback and getting support.

- Parras pointed out that it would not be approved over break; it will be approved in February or March.
- 227 NEW BUSINESS:
- 228 University Bookstore Presentation
- Mato and Dunlap from the University Bookstore gave the presentation.
- They spoke about the importance of placing book orders early so that titles can be researched and the
- most cost effective options can be found for students (for example: buyback, rental, EBooks). They
- ask for book orders well in advance of the course start date for several reasons. Mato and Dunlap
- emphasized that faculty placing book orders with the bookstore as early as possible is the very best
- way to reduce the cost of course materials for students.
- Options for students in terms of texts include buying texts (new or used), Rent-A-Text from Follett,
- and Café Scribe which is a flexible, digital textbook in which course materials can be adapted to the
- specific needs of the classroom (and it is available immediately, interoperable with Blackboard and 40
- -60% less than most textbooks).
- **ADJOURNMENT:** The Faculty Senate adjourned at 1:45. The next meeting of the Faculty Senate
- will be held on Tuesday, October 25<sup>th</sup> at 12:30pm in University Commons Ballroom C.
- 241 Respectfully submitted: K. McNeal
- 242 THIS AND OTHER SENATE DOCUMENTS ARE AVAILABLE ONLINE AT:
- 243 www.wpunj.edu/senate