## General Education Requirements for First Year Seminar Students

Common	University	Student	Program or	Expected	Instrument	Actual	Conclusions,
Student	document to	Learning	Activity	Outcome	Utilized	Outcome,	Next Steps to
Learning Goal	which Learning	Objective	targeted			using metrics	Integrate
Addressed	Goal is tied						Results
Provide	Career	Teach First	First Year	Students will	Administer	There were	Students seem
information to	Development	Year Seminar	Seminar	have an	Pre- and Post-	131	to retain the
First Year	and	students about		increased	tests (four	respondents	information
Seminar	Advisement	the		knowledge of	weeks	for the post-	shared by the
students on	Center Mission	University's		appropriate	following	test and 193	Advisement
the	Statement.	general		general	presentation)	respondents	Center during
University's	Divisional	education		education	of 12 First Year	for the pre-	FYS classes.
general	Mission	curriculum		classes they	Seminar	test.	
education	Statement:	requirements.		must take.	classes. Data		Prior to
curriculum	Encourage,			Students will	was entered	88% of the	teaching the
requirements.	challenge, and			have an	into Student	questions	course, the
	support			increased	Voice and	showed an	students knew
	students to			ability to apply	viewed as a	increase of	the least about
	become			information to	benchmark	correct	which GE Math
	responsible			their intended	study.	answers.	course to take.
	decision			course of			
	makers.			study.		44% of the	Next Steps:
						questions	Continue
						showed a	attending FYS
						significant	courses and
						change in the	teaching
						correct	students about
						answers.	the GE
							requirements.
						The greatest	
						difference	Clarify how
						represented by	many Art

			the pre- and	courses
			post-test was	students can
			the question	take; how
			about which	many social
			Math course to	sciences; and
			take.	what Math
				course to take.

This fall was the second time this survey was conducted with much more favorable results. As a result, more time is dedicated to preparing and training six staff members in presenting, more uniformly, the information on the General Education requirements as well as information on registration to the First Year Seminar classes.

### Description of Participants:

Twelve First Year Seminar classes were visited by five of the professional staff of the Career Development and Advisement Center. A pre-test was administered at the beginning of the class to determine the students' understanding of General Education requirements at the University. A presentation was then made to each class on the General Education requirements. Approximately one week later, a post-test was administered to each of these classes. It is important to note that prior to these class presentations, the staff met to discuss what will be covered during these presentations in order to maintain consistency.

### Findings:

One hundred ninety three students participated in the pre-test and 131 students took the post-test. Of the nine questions asked on the prepost-tests, 7 of the questions showed an increase in correct responses with the post-test. Four of the nine questions showed a significant increase in the percentage of correct answers with the post-test. And one question in particular, regarding which math course students should take had an increase of 34% in correct answers with the post-test.

Conclusion and Next Steps:

This is the second time this assessment goal was administered with much more favorable results. (The first time it was administered, there were insufficient pre and post test results. The manner in which the General Education information was presented was not consistent.) Once the staff met to discuss the information to be covered in the seminar classes we were able to offer consistent information. As a result, more time is dedicated to preparing and training six staff members in presenting, more uniformly, the information on the General Education requirements as well as information on registration to the First Year Seminar classes. We will continue to address as many First Year Seminar classes as possible to provide information on advisement and general education requirements.

# Majors/Minors Day 2008/2009

## **Advisor Satisfaction**

Common Student Learning Goal Addressed	University document to which Learning Goal is tied	Student Learning Objective	Program or Activity targeted	Expected Outcome	Instrument Utilized	Actual Outcome, using metrics	Conclusions, Next Steps
Guide and motivate students through the advisement process with high student satisfaction	University: "promote student success" Division: "Facilitate students' educational growth"; "Help students achieve their goals and help them fill gaps where they are missing something" Department: "Committed to actively engaging students in academic and career exploration and decision making,	Express satisfaction with the location of the center Express satisfaction with the professionalism of the advisor Express satisfaction with the information	Individual departmental academic advisor sessions during the first two registration windows	The inquiring advisement students will display satisfaction of advisement from the advisors within the Career Development and Gloria S. Williams Advisement Center.	A 19 question objective survey administered via Student Voice PDAs	<ul> <li>30% between majors, 70% undeclared</li> <li>Over 70% of respondents had were meeting with advisor for the second or more time</li> <li>100% satisfaction with the office location</li> <li>93.71 % reported friendly advisor</li> <li>99.43% overall satisfaction with advisor and session</li> </ul>	Survey administered in fall 2008 to 175 students. Overall satisfaction rate was 99.43% with advisor and advisement session. Results reported to Student Affairs Sub- committee of the Board of Trustees. In order to expand this and gain greater understanding of students' awareness and usage of Center's services, a Student Engagement Survey will be administered during 2009-2010 academic year.

	ing in a received from			
	rehensive			
	tional and the advisor			
	r plan that			
contri	ibutes to			
stude	nt success"			

# Freshman Seminar Pilot Program/Career Decision Making

Common	University	Student	Program or	Expected	Instrument	Actual	Conclusions,
Student	document to	Learning	Activity	Outcome	Utilized	Outcome,	Next Steps to
Learning Goal	which Learning	Objective	targeted			using metrics	integrate
Addressed	Goal is tied						results (close
							the loop)
Provide	Career	Teach students	Section 61 of	Students will	Pre-and Post-	The control	Both classes
information	Development	to set short-	WPU 101 of	be able to set	test	group with	seemed to
and guidance	and Advisement	and long- term	First Year	short- and	administered	career	have comfort
to Undeclared	Center Mission	goals at the	Seminar class	long- term	to student	development	with knowing
First Year	Statement.	conclusion of	will have a	goals.	class	component	their values,
Seminar Pilot		this course.	syllabus with a	Students will	participants.	found the	interests, skills
Program with a	Division Mission	Teach students	greater focus	be able to	Pre-and Post-	greatest	and abilities
focus on	Statement:	to use the	on career	decide on a	test with	comfort in	with an 18%
Career	Facilitate	information	decision	major or	control group	setting long-	vs. 20%
Decision	students'	they gathered	making.	career.	not following	term goals (an	increase by the
Making.	personal	and self-		Students will	this enhanced	increase )from	end of the
	development	exploration to		learn to	syllabus.	45%-63%, in	class. Both
	(empowerment).	decide on a		identify their		selecting a	classes felt
	(empowerment).	major or		interests, skills,		career from	they had
	Help students	career. Teach		values and		47% -57% and	gained
	achieve their	students to		abilities.		in knowing	different
	goals and help	identify their				their values,	knowledge by
	them fill gaps	interests, skills,				skills, interests	the end of the
	where they are	values and				and abilities	classes. The
	missing	abilities.				from 42%-62%.	class with the
	something.					The other class	career
	Help students as					found the	development

unique		greatest	component did
individuals,		comfort in	not show much
respect and		setting short-	interest in the
develop their		term goals (an	career
special talents		increase from	enhanced
and gifts.		45%-78%,	syllabus. They
		comfort in	appeared to be
Student Success		setting long-	in information
Plan: Obj. S3-		term goals	overload
help students		54%-63% and	throughout the
make a		comfort with	semester
successful		knowing	
transition into		values,	We plan to
the academic		interests, skills	offer this
community.		and abilities	course again
		from 45%-63%.	during Fall '09.

### Description of Participants:

Two different groups were assessed in this study. The control group included 19 undeclared students taking freshman seminar class with an enhanced career development component. The other group of 24 students was taught by Dr. Kim Daniel-Robinson and included a mix of students with majors. A pre- and post- test was given to both groups. It is important to point out that the groups were not only a different population (declared versus undeclared) but were also following a different syllabus and, of course, were taught by different instructors.

### Findings:

When asked what the two groups felt they gained from their first year seminar class, the class with the career development component felt they gained the ability to set long term goals (pre-test 84% vs. post-test 100%). They also felt they were better equipped to decide on a major. (pre-

test 52% vs. post-test 62%) The other class felt they were better able to decide on an academic major (pre-test 16% vs. post-test 57%) and to decide on a career (pre-test 33% vs. post-test 47%)

When asked if they had a major in mind at this time, the class with the career development component had no significant change from pre- to post-test, 68%-75%. The other class had a similar response from pre- to post-test, 95%-100%.

When asked if they had a career in mind at this time, the class with the career development component had no change at all from pre-to post-test, 68%. The other class had a similar response from pre-to post-test, 84%-84%.

They were asked how comfortable they were with selecting a career at this time. The class with the career development component had some increase from pre-to post-test when looking at very comfortable and comfortable responses, 47%-57%. The other class had virtually no change for pre-test to post-test, 50%-47%.

They were also asked how comfortable they were in setting short-term goals. The class with the career development component had no significant change from pre-to post-test when looking at very comfortable and comfortable responses, 57%-56%. With the other class the change was much more significant; When looking at very comfortable and comfortable responses the change from pre-test to post-test was 45% to 78%.

They were also asked how comfortable they were in setting long-term goals. The class with the career development component had the most significant changes when looking at very comfortable and comfortable responses, 45%-63%. The other class had less of a change from pre-to post-test, 54%-62%.

Finally, they were asked how comfortable they were in knowing their values, interests, skills and abilities. The class with the career development component had virtually the same response as the other class when looking at very comfortable and comfortable responses. The career development class pre-test vs. post-test was 42%-62% and the other class was 45% -63%.

#### Conclusions and Next Steps:

Two classes were used for this assessment, one with the enhanced career development component and one without this component. Students in both classes were administered a pre-and post-test regarding what they hope to/gained from this course. The pre-and post-tests showed that both classes seemed to have comfort with knowing their interests, skills and abilities. The class with the career development component showed little interest in the career development enhanced syllabus. This may be due to information overload during their first semester as well as their adjustment to college in general. Students seemed to be in information overload throughout the semester. Due to registration issues

related to the seminar classes and a planned changed in the curriculum for future First Year Seminar classes this course was not repeated. Our plan is to teach this class again during the fall 2009 semester. We will look at the syllabus and make adjustments as needed. One consideration might be to offer a career development course for students during their second semester freshman year or at the sophomore level. Discussions have been in progress with several Deans to possibly offer a Career Development elective course for upper classmen.